Electronically Filed
3/20/2026 5:49 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. ISAIAH PERALES, Defendant.	CASE NO.: C-25-389179-1 DEPT. XXXII

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTY CRAIG, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
THURSDAY, APRIL 3, 2025

RECORDER'S ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: SENTENCING

APPEARANCES:

For the State: ALEXANDER QUAGGE, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: JASON C. MAKRIS, ESQ.
Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: KAIHLA BERNDT, COURT RECORDER

Docket 92252 Document 2026-20416

Las Vegas, Nevada; Thursday, April 3, 2025

[Proceeding commenced at 8:35 a.m.]

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Isaiah Perales on page 8. That is C389179. He is present out of custody, represented by Mr. Makris, the State by Mr. Quagge.

So, I saw that he was denied Mental Health Court, but they referred it to Adult Drug Court, and I don't see a response from Adult Drug Court yet.

MR. MAKRIS: That's correct, Your Honor. We are asking to continue this two weeks for them --

THE COURT: Makes sense to me. Actually, we'll have to make it three because I'm in trial. I'm not going to add anything.

MR. MAKRIS: Okay.

THE COURT CLERK: That'll be -- April 22nd, 8:30.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you so much.

What?

MR. MAKRIS: Judge --

THE COURT: Yes?

MR. MAKRIS: -- we have one more thing for this case.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. MAKRIS: In speaking with Mr. Sandoval who I negotiated this case with, they've also agreed to dismiss another petty larceny case that he has that's not contained within Guilty Plea Agreement. Would you like us to -- I thought since we've already signed

it, like, we can't kind of interlineate the Guilty Plea Agreement now.

THE COURT: Uh-uh. You can do an addendum I think. I've never done that before.

THE COURT CLERK: I can print it if you want to amend it by interlineation.

THE COURT: And then withdraw the last one and --

THE COURT CLERK: It just stays. It's just amended by interlineation.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE COURT CLERK: I can print it.

THE COURT: All right, sure.

MR. QUAGGE: Yeah.

MR. MAKRIS: Okay.

THE COURT CLERK: If you want to do that.

THE COURT: Sure.

THE COURT CLERK: And then I'll just file it in open court.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MAKRIS: We weren't sure so --

THE COURT: Me neither.

MR. MAKRIS: -- we wanted to make sure that it was done correctly.

THE COURT: That's why it's important to have a Clerk who knows stuff.

MR. MAKRIS: Yeah.

[Colloquy between counsel]

MR. MAKRIS: Would you like my client to initial it, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, please.

MR. MAKRIS: Okay.

THE COURT: I think that's a great idea.

[Colloquy between counsel and Defendant]

MR. MAKRIS: And what was that -- it was April 22nd?

THE COURT CLERK: Correct.

MR. MAKRIS: Thank you so much.

THE COURT: Hang on for a second. I'll make a record of this. So, we are filing an Amended Guilty Plea Agreement adding the dismissal of 24CR109929 initialed by the State, defense, and the Defendant. And we will file that. Thank you.

MR. MAKRIS: Thank you, everyone. I appreciate it.

THE COURT: Of course.

[Proceeding concluded at 8:38 a.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C (d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Kaihla Berndt

Kaihla Berndt
Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed
3/20/2026 5:49 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. ISAIAH PERALES, Defendant.	CASE NO.: C-25-389179-1 DEPT. XXXII

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTY CRAIG, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
TUESDAY, APRIL 22, 2025

RECORDER'S ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: SENTENCING

APPEARANCES:

For the State: AFAN TARAR, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: JASON C. MAKRIS, ESQ.
Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: KAIHLA BERNDT, COURT RECORDER

Las Vegas, Nevada; Tuesday, April 22, 2025

[Proceeding commenced at 8:36 a.m.]

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Isaiah Perales on page 8. That is C389179. He is present here in custody, represented by Mr. Makris, the State of Nevada represented by Mr. Tarar.

It looks like this is the time set for entry of judgment and imposition of sentence. Is there any legal cause or reason we can't go forward -- actually, I take that back.

Did you guys do an application?

MR. MAKRIS: We did an application, Your Honor. The specialty court has been taking a while.

THE COURT: They didn't even put in that they had it.

MR. MAKRIS: Yeah. I -- we're in talk -- we're in contact with Ms. Jobe. She asked for another week, but I talked with my client. He's been accepted into in-patient programming. And so, since we've continued this so much, he kind of wants to get into in-patient and get treatment. And so, he wanted to go forward today with the sentencing.

THE COURT: Can you tell me about the in-patient? Do you have any proof of it? Or tell me details.

MR. MAKRIS: Well, I applied him to in-patient, and it's the Salvation Army. I'm just waiting for my social worker to confirm that. But there were notes in JustWare that he had been approved for -- he had been approved for in-patient.

THE COURT: Okay. So, this is a larceny from a person, a C

Felony, no rec if he's accepted into in-patient or specialty program. If he was not accepted, it was right to argue. I accept your representations that he was accepted into Salvation Army. State, did you have anything you wanted to add?

MR. TARAR: No, Your Honor. We --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. TARAR: -- you know, take Mr. Makris's representations, and we will stand by our negotiations.

THE COURT: All right. I'm inclined to give him a shot at Salvation Army.

Do they come and pick him up still?

MR. MAKRIS: They do. They do pick them up, Your Honor. So --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MAKRIS: -- if you can do a transport order that says to release him to Salvation Army, they will come and pick him up.

THE COURT: That doesn't sound right.

MR. MAKRIS: It's usually what we do when we do in-patient. You --

THE COURT: So, just generically Salvation Army, like any -- they could come in six years, or in two weeks --

MR. MAKRIS: No. They'll be --

THE COURT: -- or in an hour?

MR. MAKRIS: -- they'll be notified that he's ready for pick-up.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE COURT CLERK: Yeah.

THE COURT: Sounds weird but all right.

THE COURT CLERK: We hope.

THE COURT: Okay.

Anything else you wanted to add, Mr. Makris?

MR. MAKRIS: Your Honor, if you're willing to follow the negotiations --

THE COURT: I am.

MR. MAKRIS: -- I just know that I've had a lot of discussions with Mr. Perales. This is something that he's deeply sorry about. As I -- you see from the PSI, he did have some mental health, as well.

THE COURT: I saw that.

MR. MAKRIS: And I think that the mental health and the drug use was driving a lot of this. He's very sorry for this. And we've had a long discussion about getting back on track. He did do a short ten-day in-patient program before, and he had 15 months of recovery. So, we're very hopeful that this 90 days will give him really the structure that he needs to have long-term sobriety.

THE COURT: Okay.

You have family support?

THE DEFENDANT: I got no family in Nevada, but I got family back home in California.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you plan to stay here?

THE DEFENDANT: After I graduate Salvation Army, [indiscernible] like, I'll be able to consider it more, you know. But --

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: -- as of right, I'm focused on graduating Salvation Army --

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: -- in Vegas.

THE COURT: All right. Do you have anything you wanted to add?

THE DEFENDANT: I want to say, like, I'm not trying to blame my actions on my drug addiction, but if I wasn't getting high, I would have never been doing what I was doing. And thankful I got locked up because it like slowed me down, you know what I mean, and it was a blessing in disguise. And I'm like really remorseful for the victims, however I'll be able to make a living [indiscernible] you know, so I just want to --

THE COURT: Okay. Well --

THE DEFENDANT: -- [indiscernible].

THE COURT: -- I'm glad you got a clear-eyed view of it. Additionally, it looks like you were diagnosed with bipolar disorder. If you don't address that and take your medication appropriately, it makes it harder for everything else to work.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: When you don't take your medication and treat it -- I mean, like if you had diabetes, you'd take insulin every day, right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: Or die. So, treating a mental health issue with

medication is the same as any other thing. If you had cancer, you'd take the cancer drugs, right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: Yeah.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: So, take your bipolar medication because otherwise, when you don't, bad things happen and you could end up in jail again, which is not great. And also, people often tend to self-medicate when they don't take their medications, so.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: You need to address all those things together. Think of them kind of as a puzzle.

All right. Pursuant to NRS 178 -- or in accordance with the laws of the State of Nevada, you are hereby adjudged guilty of the crime of larceny from a person, a C Felony. In addition to the $25 Administrative Fee, the $3 DNA Collection Fee, the $150 DNA Fee and the fact that you have to submit to genetic marker testing, you are hereby sentenced to a minimum term of 18 months, a maximum term of 48 months.

I put a little pinch in there, so if you don't successfully complete, you're not just getting a minimum sentence; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: All right.

I'm going to suspend that sentence and grant you probation

not to exceed 18 months with the condition of release -- or condition of probation, as well, that you finish Salvation Army. I'm also going to agree to sign an order so that you can be released to Salvation Army for participation in the program. And I'm imposing all the standard probationary terms, not including digital and electronic device monitoring.

I didn't see any restitution; is that accurate, State?

MR. TARAR: That is accurate.

THE COURT: With 90 days credit.

Good luck to you. I hope I see you back here having successfully completed probation, Mr. Perales.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

THE COURT: You're welcome.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, sir.

THE COURT: Anybody else, Mr. Makris?

THE COURT CLERK: And that was in-patient treatment, right?

///

///

///

THE COURT: It is in-patient at Salvation Army.

MR. MAKRIS: Thank you, Judge.

[Proceeding concluded at 8:42 a.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C (d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Kaihla Berndt

Kaihla Berndt
Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed
3/20/2026 5:49 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. ISAIAH PERALES, Defendant.	CASE NO.: C-25-389179-1 DEPT. XXXII

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTY CRAIG, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
TUESDAY, JUNE 17, 2025

RECORDER'S ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: REVOCATION OF PROBATION

APPEARANCES:

For the State:	DALLIN ALBRIGHT, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney
For the Defendant:	KHAYLIA DeCAIRES, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
Also Present:	J. WATMORE Parole & Probation

RECORDED BY: KAIHLA BERNDT, COURT RECORDER

Las Vegas, Nevada; Tuesday, June 17, 2025

[Proceeding commenced at 8:43 a.m.]

THE COURT: Oh, Perales. Perales.

MS. DeCAIRES: Perales.

THE COURT: Perales? Perales on page 14, C389179.

How do you say your last name? Who got it right?

THE DEFENDANT: Perales.

THE COURT: Like none of us got it right.

MS. DeCAIRES: Yeah.

THE COURT: All right. He is present in custody, represented by his attorney, Ms. DeCaires, the State of Nevada by Mr. Albright.

Officer, if you'd say your last name and your first initial.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: Officer J. Watmore.

THE COURT: And if I didn't say it, he is present in custody.

You were -- this is a revo. He's got a new case, 25CR049119, with a prelim set for June 25th. It looks like seven counts of possession of credit or debit card and one count of PCS. I'm assuming we're continuing this past the prelim.

MS. DeCAIRES: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. ALBRIGHT: Yes. And we were going to request the 26th for the Officer's benefit.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. DeCAIRES: The 26th of June?

MR. ALBRIGHT: Yes.

MS. DeCAIRES: Isn't it set on the 26th of June?

THE COURT: It's set on the 25th.

MR. ALBRIGHT: Yeah.

MS. DeCAIRES: Oh, sorry. Mr. Makris's notes are wrong.

THE COURT: But that means you guys have got to tell me because I won't get updated information that quick. They won't have updated the minutes --

MS. DeCAIRES: Yes.

THE COURT: -- so somebody has to let me know what happened.

And it looks like Mr. Luna represents him, so if you could make sure that gets copied to him so he knows and is aware when he's negotiating the case.

MS. DeCAIRES: Yes.

THE COURT: Officer Watmore, you can do as you please, you can come or not come. It's up to you.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: I appreciate that.

THE COURT CLERK: The 26th is dark, so we'll have to --

THE COURT: Oh.

THE COURT CLERK: -- go the week after.

THE COURT: Sorry.

MR. ALBRIGHT: Oh.

THE COURT: Oh, that's --

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: Judge, I just --

THE COURT: -- right. I'm on holiday.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: -- I just start my vacation, so that's the problem. That's why --

THE COURT: I feel you.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: -- I asked for the 26th. I just --

THE COURT: I'm on vacation that day, so there's nobody here on the calendar.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: I mean, I'll just have to have it covered, I guess.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE COURT CLERK: July 1st at 8:30.

THE COURT: July 1st it is. Sorry --

MS. DeCAIRES: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- about that. Yep.

[Colloquy between counsel and Defendant]

[Proceeding concluded at 8:45 a.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C (d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Kaihla Berndt

Kaihla Berndt
Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed
3/20/2026 5:49 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. ISAIAH PERALES, Defendant.	CASE NO.: C-25-389179-1 DEPT. XXXII

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTY CRAIG, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
TUESDAY, JULY 1, 2025

RECORDER'S ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: REVOCATION OF PROBATION

APPEARANCES:

For the State:	DOLAPO D. EMMANUEL, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney
For the Defendant:	JASON C. MAKRIS, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
Also Present:	J. ARGUETA Parole & Probation

RECORDED BY: KAIHLA BERNDT, COURT RECORDER

Las Vegas, Nevada; Tuesday, July 1, 2025

[Proceeding commenced at 9:46 a.m.]

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Isaiah Perales on page 25. That is C389179. He is present in custody, represented by Mr. Makris, the State of Nevada represented by Ms. Emmanuel.

Officer, if you'd say your last name and your first initial.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: J. Argueta, A-R-G-U-E-T-A.

THE COURT: Thank you.

He had an 18 to 48. This is a revo. He picked up a new case, C-25-392381, attempt possession of a credit or debit card without cardholder's consent. It looks like it's already in DC 18. So, he pled to something. I have absolutely no idea what though.

MR. MAKRIS: He --

MS. EMMANUEL: He -- we --

MR. MAKRIS: Go ahead.

MS. EMMANUEL: -- we had agreed --

THE COURT: Oh.

MS. EMMANUEL: -- to reinstate him in this case.

THE COURT: Mm-hmm.

MS. EMMANUEL: But it also indicates, if Your Honor is nevertheless -- if Your Honor nevertheless elects to revoke the Defendant that we would have no opposition to concurrent time. And it is a no recommendation to an E Felony/gross misdemeanor wobbler --

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MS. EMMANUEL: -- in his new case.

THE COURT: So, reinstate any time. Or just out today?

MR. MAKRIS: So, we were going to ask -- I was going to ask to reinstate after his sentencing date in the other case because there is possibly a Drug Court application that's going to happen.

THE COURT: I don't think I have the sentencing date.

MR. MAKRIS: It's July 28th, Judge.

MS. EMMANUEL: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, then I'm going to go ahead -- is he stipulating that he violated the terms and conditions of his probation?

MR. MAKRIS: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Out loud.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: Terrific. I accept that -- his acknowledgment. I'm going to go ahead then in light of the negotiations and reinstate him to probation for release after July 28th.

Is that good enough to say that, or do I have to do actual dates to get the --

THE COURT CLERK: Well, normally it would be like a term --

THE COURT: Today's the 1st.

THE COURT CLERK: -- with credit.

THE COURT: So, let's do 30 days.

THE COURT CLERK: So, 30 days.

THE COURT: With one day credit. I can't just say release

after July 28th. I've got to actually set a -- timing.

THE COURT CLERK: And then --

THE COURT: Since today's the first, then I'm going to do 30 days.

MR. MAKRIS: Okay.

THE COURT CLERK: And then he's on --

THE COURT: But that may let him out earlier.

What?

MR. MAKRIS: Yeah --

THE COURT CLERK: He's on probation after that or it's the --

THE COURT: Yeah, I haven't gotten there yet.

THE COURT CLERK: Okay.

MR. MAKRIS: So, I think what --

THE COURT: I'm just trying to get that so that he gets out after sentencing.

MR. MAKRIS: I think what our thought process was Judge is to set a status check to see where he is with --

THE COURT: Oh.

MR. MAKRIS: -- the Drug Court application. And then if he's accepted into Drug Court, we can put both cases into Drug Court.

THE COURT: So you want me to hold off on reinstating him until after the 28th? All right, I can do that.

Cross it all out.

THE COURT CLERK: Okay.

THE COURT: Come back after July 28th and we'll talk about

reinstatement with Drug Court as a condition if he's accepted.

THE COURT CLERK: Let's do July 31st at 8:30.

So, just status check then --

THE COURT: Yep.

THE COURT CLERK: -- on the --

THE COURT: Yep.

THE COURT CLERK: -- on revo?

THE COURT: Officer doesn't need to be here.

[Proceeding concluded at 9:49 a.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C (d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Kaihla Berndt

Kaihla Berndt
Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed
3/20/2026 5:49 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. ISAIAH PERALES, Defendant.	CASE NO.: C-25-389179-1 DEPT. XXXII

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTY CRAIG, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
THURSDAY, JULY 31, 2025

RECORDER'S ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: STATUS CHECK - REVOCATION

APPEARANCES:

For the State:	JOHN MESERVEY, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney
For the Defendant:	HAILIE FESTA, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender
Also Present:	J. WATMORE Parole & Probation

RECORDED BY: KAIHLA BERNDT, COURT RECORDER

Las Vegas, Nevada; Thursday, July 31, 2025

[Proceeding commenced at 8:37 a.m.]

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Isaiah Perales on page 9. That is C389179.

Way to take control, Officer. Well done.

He is present in custody, represented by Ms. Festa. The State's represented by Mr. Meservey.

Will you say your last name and our first initial?

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: Officer Watmore, first initial J.

THE COURT: All right. I note for the record that he took a deal in DC 18. I can't believe I forgot to write down the case number. And as part of the negotiations in that case, he agreed -- the State agreed to reinstate the Defendant to probation in this case. And I was entertained by the language in the GPA that the DA agreed to reinstate him because that's certainly not how it works, but I'm going to go ahead and go along with it.

All right. So, despite the fact you picked up a new case, which is literally the most important thing that you don't do while you're on probation, I'm going to go ahead and reinstate you to probation, in light of your negotiations in your other case. You're to report to the Division of Parole and Probation in the first 24 to 48 hours after you're released from custody, whenever that is.

MS. FESTA: He is going into Adult Drug Court. His first

appearance with that is August 8th.

THE COURT: So, as soon as Adult Drug Court releases, in that first 24 to 48, you need to go see Officer Watmore; do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And if you don't stay out of trouble, how much time are you facing?

THE DEFENDANT: I got --

THE COURT: I feel like you should know what I sentenced you to.

THE DEFENDANT: -- I got one 18 to 48, and I got a --

THE COURT: 18 to 48.

THE DEFENDANT: -- 12 to 30.

THE COURT: I don't care about the 12 to 30. I'm more interested in the 18 to 48 because that's what I sentenced you to. So --

THE DEFENDANT: Okay.

THE COURT: -- stay out of trouble.

THE DEFENDANT: Got you.

THE COURT: It's not that hard. Just that one thing is the most important thing.

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I'm going --

THE COURT CLERK: Is he --

THE DEFENDANT: -- to take care of business.

THE COURT: That would be cool. I wish you had done that previously.

He's reinstated.

THE COURT CLERK: Is he supposed to go to Drug Court on this case, too?

THE COURT: Not in our case, no.

THE COURT CLERK: Okay.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: So --

THE COURT: That wasn't part of the negotiations.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: -- so you're not reinstating him with the condition of Drug Court?

THE COURT: Do you guys want me to do that? That's not what the plea said. It just said to reinstate him. Do you want --

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: That was my understanding. He was going to get --

THE COURT: He's doing Drug Court --

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: -- the other condition --

THE COURT: -- in the other case, but was that part of the GPA? I don't care about adding it.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: I assumed it was going to get added. Because Drug Court wants both cases, or --

THE COURT: I --

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: -- however many cases --

THE COURT: -- I agree.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: -- they have.

THE COURT: But they didn't negotiate it that way. You guys

have any objection to including this case?

MR. MESERVEY: I have no objection to it.

THE COURT: It's half a dozen in one, six in the other.

Okay, I'm going to reinstate you with Adult Drug Court as a condition of probation. And this is running -- well, they're case is running concurrent with ours, so -- and I forgot to write down the -- oh, no I didn't --

MS. FESTA: I have the case number.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: It's consecutive.

THE COURT: -- C-25-392381.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: I think it's running consecutive.

THE COURT: I have no idea whether it's consecutive or concurrent. It's just that they agreed to reinstatement in our case.

THE COURT CLERK: So, Court's indulgence.

THE COURT: Yeah.

THE COURT CLERK: Because I think you still got to add the Drug Court conditions on our case, as well.

THE COURT: I just did.

THE COURT CLERK: No, I mean, the one through nine.

THE COURT: Oh, you mean I have to read the --

THE COURT CLERK: Sorry.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to accept my punishment now for adding Adult Drug Court. I have to read all these things to you.

You have to enter and successfully complete the specialty

court program; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have to comply with any and all requirements of the program, as well as Parole and Probation and any electronic monitoring?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have to comply with any and all rules of residential and sober living placements?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you cannot possess any alcohol or drugs, including synthetic drugs, whether legal or illegal, unless they are preapproved; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you have -- understand -- do you understand that you must comply with any and all counseling requirements?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: If there's a curfew, you must comply with the curfew.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you understand that your person and your property is subject to a search anywhere alcohol or drugs may be found?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You also are agreeing to submit any electronic

devices and/or social media accounts you use or have access to to a search and provide all your passwords to Parole and Probation or their agent; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Additionally, you can only have one cellphone at a time, and you must provide the phone number to P&P, specialty court staff, and treatment providers, you may not change the password, delete messages, or remove the SIM card without permission, and you cannot use anybody else's phone or let anybody else use your phone; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. You're going to go to P -- or to Adult Drug Court on the date that she noted. And I hope you successfully complete it.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

THE COURT CLERK: And he's to remain in custody? Other setting is an in-custody one, so --

THE COURT: Yes.

THE COURT CLERK: Okay. Do we need to extend probation to --

THE COURT: I don't know, do we have to extend probation or is he okay?

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: I believe you --

THE COURT: Okay.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: -- probably do for six

months, just to be safe.

THE COURT: Let's go ahead and extend it today then for another six months in order to give him enough time to complete Adult Drug Court because that's what you're going to do, right?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE COURT CLERK: All right. It's -- he's already set August 8th, 9:30, so we'll set this case, as well.

THE COURT: All right, thank you so much. Thanks, Officer.

PAROLE & PROBATION OFFICER: Thank you, Judge.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Good luck.

[Proceeding concluded at 8:42 a.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C (d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Kaihla Berndt

Kaihla Berndt
Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed
3/20/2026 5:49 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. ISAIAH PERALES, Defendant.	CASE NO.: C-25-389179-1 DEPT. XXXII

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTY CRAIG, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
THURSDAY, JANUARY 15, 2026

RECORDER'S ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: REVOCATION OF PROBATION

APPEARANCES:

For the State: DALLIN ALBRIGHT, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: JASON C. MAKRIS, ESQ.
Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: KAIHLA BERNDT, COURT RECORDER

Las Vegas, Nevada; Thursday, January 15, 2026

[Proceeding commenced at 9:12 a.m.]

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Isaiah, I think, Perales on page 19. That is C389179. He is present here in custody from NDOC, represented by Mr. Makris. The State of Nevada is represented by Mr. Albright. Let me just get to page 19.

I think the last time we were here he wasn't here and now he is here. So, where do we stand? So many notes.

MR. MAKRIS: So, Your Honor, we --

THE COURT: I think I just need to revoke and impose.

MR. MAKRIS: Well, last time, we were ready for -- we were ready to challenge, to do a revocation hearing, Judge, just because of the nature of the underlying allegations that are in the violation report that we disagree with. So --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. MAKRIS: -- we feel that pursuant to *Anaya* under Nevada Supreme Court and *Morrissey* that Mr. Perales did not receive proper due process when he was terminated from the Adult Drug Court program.

THE COURT: But isn't that in front of Judge Holthus?

MR. MAKRIS: No, Judge Holthus actually already went and revoked him.

THE COURT: Oh, that's right. The underlying termination was in my case; is that accurate?

MR. MAKRIS: It's in both cases, right, because both cases were sent to the Adult Drug Court program.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MAKRIS: But reviewing the transcripts from the hearings in Drug Court, there was never any evidence that was submitted, and we're challenging -- and those are the things that are here in the violation report. So, we feel that we have a right to challenge those.

THE COURT: Okay. When do you want to do it?

MR. ALBRIGHT: And Your Honor, our position is that they're basically challenging the termination from Drug Court. That's something that would need to be challenged in Drug Court. I don't believe that this Court would have the authority to go through and re-litigate what should --

THE COURT: Based on what law?

MR. ALBRIGHT: -- have been brought up --

THE COURT: I mean, I don't know that this has ever been addressed, so.

MR. ALBRIGHT: Yeah. I think just based on that this is -- the termination -- participation in the Drug Court program is determined by Judge Wiese, the judge of the Drug Court program.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. ALBRIGHT: The -- the violation here is not his conduct that ultimately got him terminated. His violation of probation is the termination itself. And I think the defense recognizes that, too because they're first -- okay.

THE COURT: Let me just say --

MR. ALBRIGHT: Okay.

THE COURT: -- one thing. Put it in writing.

MR. ALBRIGHT: Mm-hmm.

THE COURT: And I'll make a decision about whether I keep it or whether it goes back to Judge Wiese. I think that -- I think it's legitimate the two of you are disagreeing, and I don't think there's probably any law on it. If there is, it's not something I've looked up, so -- and then I'll make a ruling. If it goes back to Judge Wiese, they can hold the hearing. If it stays with me, assuming I agree that there should be a hearing, I'll do it, and then we'll take it from there.

MR. MAKRIS: I think the other issue that we're facing, Judge, is right now, Judge Holthus, when she sentenced Mr. Perales --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. MAKRIS: -- she did not follow the negotiations, and she ran his sentence consecutively.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. MAKRIS: And so, we're now at a point where you haven't revoked him --

THE COURT: I haven't.

MR. MAKRIS: -- on the first one, and then he's -- which sentence is he serving? Because if hers is running consecutively to ours, and ours has not been revoked yet --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. MAKRIS: -- that's --

THE COURT: I think that's the prison's problem to figure out in the event I revoke him. They can figure out how to address the credit. My guess, all the credit would come to this case, and he would start getting credit on that case when this case ends. If this case never gets there, then he continues to get credit in the case he's there on. That's what I suspect. That makes common sense. But I think it's premature to worry about how that credit would work.

So, right now, how long do you want -- I think maybe just -- do you want to do blind motions since you're both taking two different positions, and then I'll just rule in ten days?

MR. MAKRIS: Sure.

THE COURT: Okay. So, I'll give you guys ten days to file blind motions on whether or not I should hear it or Judge Wiese should hear it, and then we'll set it for a hearing the first date past that.

MR. ALBRIGHT: Yeah, that's fine.

THE COURT CLERK: Ten days is a Sunday, so I'll do 11. January --

THE COURT: Sure.

THE COURT CLERK: -- the 26th would be your due date.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ALBRIGHT: Or sorry --

THE COURT CLERK: For the --

MR. ALBRIGHT: -- what was that one more time? Sorry.

THE COURT CLERK: Sorry, January the 26th would be your blind due date, blind briefing due date or filing due date. And then we

will do --

THE COURT: We'll need a special setting so I can hear argument.

THE COURT CLERK: How about the 29th at 10:00, January 29th at 10:00?

THE COURT: All right. I need him back here on the 29th at 10:00.

Officer, thank you so much.

And another fascinating issue. Thanks.

MR. MAKRIS: Thank you, Judge. Appreciate it.

THE COURT: You bet. Of course.

MR. MAKRIS: All right.

[Proceeding concluded at 9:16 a.m.]

* * * * * *

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C (d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Kaihla Berndt

Kaihla Berndt
Court Recorder/Transcriber

Electronically Filed
3/20/2026 5:49 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff, vs. ISAIAH PERALES, Defendant.	CASE NO.: C-25-389179-1 DEPT. XXXII

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHRISTY CRAIG, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 2026

RECORDER'S ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING: REVOCATION OF PROBATION

APPEARANCES:

For the State: WENDY W. ANTEBI, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: JASON C. MAKRIS, ESQ.
Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: KAIHLA BERNDT, COURT RECORDER

Las Vegas, Nevada; Thursday, February 12, 2026

[Proceeding commenced at 9:07 a.m.]

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Isaiah Perales on page 1. That is C389179.

And then I need to call the Court Interpreter.

Does Mr. Perales need an interpreter?

MR. MAKRIS: No, Judge.

THE COURT: He is present here from NDOC.

We -- just remind me what we're doing.

MS. ANTEBI: So, Your Honor, I think there -- last time, there was suggestion of briefing the issue regarding --

THE COURT: That's correct, and we had a meeting in my chambers.

MR. MAKRIS: I ultimately -- I sent an email, Judge --

THE COURT: I saw it.

MR. MAKRIS: -- that we don't believe that the Court does have --

THE COURT: The authority.

MR. MAKRIS: -- is able to remand back to Drug Court after I did my research.

THE COURT: I think you would have to file it in Drug Court, but it's technically here, so I don't know how --

MR. MAKRIS: We don't --

THE COURT: -- that would happen.

MR. MAKRIS: So, we're just ready to go forward with the revocation hearing today, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you prepared to do that?

MS. ANTEBI: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, I note for the record, he has an underlying 18 to 48 months. It looks like he's in NDOC on the case with I think Judge Holthus who sent him; is that accurate?

MR. MAKRIS: She sentenced him to consecutive.

THE COURT: To my case.

MR. MAKRIS: To your case.

THE COURT: All right. So --

MR. MAKRIS: Per the agreement.

THE COURT: -- is he stipulating that he violated the terms and conditions of his probation?

MR. MAKRIS: No, Judge.

THE COURT: So, we're holding a hearing?

MR. MAKRIS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay, you're killing me. So, go have a seat, and we'll hold a hearing.

MR. MAKRIS: Okay.

THE COURT: I'm not going to hold a hearing when --

MR. MAKRIS: Okay.

THE COURT: -- everybody else is waiting. I thought we were just stipulating and arguing and I could impose it, but okay, if we're going to have -- if we're going to put on a hearing, let's put on a hearing.

MR. MAKRIS: Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

[Matter trailed at 9:09 a.m.]

[Matter recalled at 9:27 a.m.]

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Isaiah Perales, C389179.

Parties are approaching. Wait for the fuzzy noise.

[Bench conference begins]

MR. MAKRIS: All right. So, I understand that he's been terminated from the Drug Court program. And --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MAKRIS: -- that's a non-technical violation.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MAKRIS: I disagree with it.

THE COURT: I get it.

MR. MAKRIS: So, I guess that's why I'm saying that I want a full revocation hearing. I understand what the State's going to say, that, look, he got terminated from Drug Court.

THE COURT: So, she's not putting anybody on --

MR. MAKRIS: So, she's not putting --

THE COURT: -- she's going to note the termination.

MR. MAKRIS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay, we can do that.

MR. MAKRIS: And then, I'm going to make my record about what I feel --

THE COURT: And then you can appeal and figure it out.

MR. MAKRIS: Exactly.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MAKRIS: All right. So, I'm sorry --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. MAKRIS: -- if I caused confusion by that.

THE COURT: That's all right.

MR. MAKRIS: I just wanted to make sure it's clear.

MS. ANTEBI: Yeah, and I just want to make sure because Mr. Makris said hearing, I said, well, I don't have a witness here if --

THE COURT: Right, holy cow.

MS. ANTEBI: -- we're doing a hearing.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MAKRIS: All right.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. MAKRIS: All right.

[Bench conference ends]

THE COURT: All right, we have Mr. Perales or Perales?

THE DEFENDANT: Perales.

THE COURT: Perales. Present here from NDOC, represented by Mr. Makris. The State of Nevada is represented by Ms. Antebi.

As noted, this case has been kind of hanging out for a while on the revocation proceeding. He was in Adult Drug Court, was terminated from Adult Drug Court. There were some questions about