District Court Case No: D-22-653146-D

Electronically Filed
Jan 07 2025 02:13 PM
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

PETRECE TERRI BACA,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

JESSIE ELIAS BACA,

Defendant(s).

NOTICE OF APPEAL PACKET COVER SHEET

Electronically Filed
01/06/2025
CLERK OF THE COURT

FILING CODE: _____
Your Name: Jessie baca
Address: 151 Tayman Park Ave
City, State, Zip: las vegas NV
Telephone: 719-967-3378
Email Address: Jessiebaca@gmail.com
Self-Represented

DISTRICT COURT
_____ COUNTY, NEVADA

Petrece Baca [redacted] Plaintiff, vs. Jessie baca Defendant.	CASE NO.: D-22-603146-D DEPT: _____

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that (*your name*) Jessie baca,

(*check one*) ☐ Plaintiff / ☑ Defendant above named, hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the (*name of order/decree*)

12/12/24 entered in this action

(*order date mm/dd/yyyy*) _____.

DATED (*month*) January (*day*) 6, 2025.

Submitted By: (*your signature*) Jessie Baca

(*print your name*) Jessie Baca

© 2023 Nevada Supreme Court

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date indicated below, I served a copy of this **NOTICE OF APPEAL** upon all parties to the appeal as follows:

☐ By personally serving it upon him/her; or

☒ By mailing it by first-class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following address(es) (List names and address(es) of parties served):

Petrece Baca
2412 Willow Wren Dr
North las vegas 89084

DATED (*today's date*) 1-6-25 20___.

Submitted By: (*your signature*) Jessie Baca

(*print your name*) Jessie Baca

© 2023 Nevada Supreme Court

Electronically Filed
1/7/2025 9:48 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

ASTA

District Court Case No: D-22-653146-D

Dept No: O

IN THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

PETRECE TERRI BACA,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

JESSIE ELIAS BACA,

Defendant(s),

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

1. Name of appellant(s) filing this case appeal statement:
   Jessie Baca

2. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment or order appealed from:
   Regina M. McConnell

3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant. If an appellant(s) is self-represented, identify the name and address of appellant(s):

   Jessie Baca

   Jessie Baca
   151 Tayman Park Ave.
   Las Vegas, NV 89148

4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known, for each respondent (if the name of a respondent's appellate counsel is unknown, indicate as much and provide the name and address of that respondent's trial counsel). For self-represented respondents, identify the name and address of each respondent:

Petrece Terri Baca

Petrece Terri Baca
2412 Willow Wren Dr.
North Las Vegas, NV 89084

5. Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the District Court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42: **N/A**

6. If appellant was represented by counsel in the District Court, indicate whether counsel was ☐ appointed or ☐ retained or ☒ N/A

7. If appellant is represented by counsel on appeal, is counsel ☐ appointed or ☐ retained or ☒ N/A

8. Has appellant been granted leave to proceed informa pauperis?

☐ Yes: Identify the date of entry of the District Court order granting such leave: (copy of order to follow by mail)
***Expires 1 year from date filed*

☒ No: Has appellant filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis?
☐ No.
☒ Yes: identify date the application was filed: January 6, 2025
If application was denied, indicate date of order denying: **N/A** (copy of order to follow by mail.)

9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the District Court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed): **August 12, 2022**

10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the District Court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the District Court: **Misc. Order**

11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court or Court of Appeals and, if so, the caption and appellate court docket number of the prior proceedings:

Docket No.	**Case Caption**
N/A	N/A

12. Does this appeal raise issues involving child custody, the guardianship of a minor, parenting time, or visitation?
☒ Yes: Is this the primary issue on appeal? ☒ Yes ☐ No
☐ No

13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement: **unknown**

Dated This 7 day of January 2025.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

/s/ Cierra Borum
Cierra Borum, Deputy Clerk
200 Lewis Ave
PO Box 551601
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-1601
(702) 671-0512

cc: Jessie Baca

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CASE SUMMARY

CASE NO. D-22-653146-D

Petrece Terri Baca, Plaintiff	§	Location:	**Department O**
vs.	§	Judicial Officer:	**McConnell, Regina M.**
Jessie Elias Baca, Defendant.	§	Filed on:	**08/12/2022**
	§	Case Number History:	

CASE INFORMATION

Related Cases
R-23-223054-R (1J1F Related - Rule 5.103)

Statistical Closures
12/27/2024 Settled/Withdrawn With Judicial Conference or Hearing
08/18/2023 Settled/Withdrawn Without Judicial Conference or Hearing
01/12/2023 Default Judgment

Case Type: **Divorce - Complaint**
Subtype: **Complaint Subject Minor(s)**

Case Status: **12/27/2024 Closed**

Case Flags: **Mail Received in the Clerk's Office**
Proper Person Mail Returned
Appealed to Supreme Court
16.2 Eligible
Filing Fee Balance Due
$25 due by deft
Case Note
$24 due by DEF

DATE — CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

Case Number	D-22-653146-D
Court	Department O
Date Assigned	03/27/2023
Judicial Officer	McConnell, Regina M.

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff	**Baca, Petrece Terri**	**Pro Se** 702-886-2220(H)
Defendant	**Baca, Jessie Elias**	**Pro Se** 719-967-3378(H)
Subject Minor	**Baca, Daniel Donley**	
	Baca, Kaili Jasmyn	
	Baca, Kallie Jade	
	Baca, Leilani Magnolia	

DATE — EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT

EVENTS

08/12/2022 Complaint for Divorce
[1] Complaint for Divorce

08/12/2022 Summons Issued Only
[2] Summons Issued Only

10/11/2022 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Plaintiff Baca, Petrece Terri
[3]

11/28/2022 Default

[4]

11/28/2022 Affidavit of Resident Witness
[5]

11/28/2022 Request for Summary Disposition of Uncontested Div/Ann
[6]

11/28/2022 Affidavit in Support
[7] Affidavit in Support of Request for Summary Dispositon

11/28/2022 Confidential Information sheet - Domestics
[8]

12/15/2022 Affidavit of Service
Filed By: Plaintiff Baca, Petrece Terri
[9] Amended Affidavit of Service

01/12/2023 Decree of Divorce
[10] Decree of Divorce by Default

01/17/2023 Notice of Entry of Decree
[11] Notice of Entry of Decree

02/13/2023 Administrative Reassignment to Department O
Pursuant to Administrative Order 23-01 - Reassigned to Judge Vacant, Dept. O

03/27/2023 Administrative Reassignment - Judicial Officer Change
Pursuant to Administrative Order 23-03 - Reassigned to Judge Regina M. McConnell

07/13/2023 Motion
Filed By: Defendant Baca, Jessie Elias
[12] Defendants Motion for an Order to Enforce and/or for an Order to Show Cause regarding Contempt

07/13/2023 Ex Parte Application
Filed by: Defendant Baca, Jessie Elias
[13] Ex Parte Application for an Order to Show Cause

07/13/2023 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Defendant Baca, Jessie Elias
[14] Certificate of Service

07/13/2023 Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis
Filed By: Defendant Baca, Jessie Elias
[15] Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis

08/18/2023 Order
[16] Order from Chamber Hearing on August 21, 2023

08/18/2023 Notice of Entry
[17] Notice of Entry of Order from Chamber Hearing on August 21, 2023

07/05/2024 Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Baca, Petrece Terri
[18] Motion to Modify Child Custody Visitation and/or Child Support

07/05/2024 Financial Disclosure Form
Filed by: Plaintiff Baca, Petrece Terri
[19] Financial Disclosure Form

07/05/2024 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Plaintiff Baca, Petrece Terri
[20] Certificate of Service

08/26/2024 Motion
Filed By: Plaintiff Baca, Petrece Terri

[21] Motion to Modify Child Custody, Visitation and/or Child Support

08/26/2024 Exhibits
Filed By: Plaintiff Baca, Petrece Terri
[22] Exhibit Appendix

08/26/2024 Certificate of Service
Filed by: Plaintiff Baca, Petrece Terri
[23] Certificate of Service

08/26/2024 Financial Disclosure Form
Filed by: Plaintiff Baca, Petrece Terri
[24] General Financial Disclosure Form

08/26/2024 Ex Parte Motion
Filed by: Plaintiff Baca, Petrece Terri
[25] Ex Parte Motion for an Order Shortening Time

08/27/2024 Order
[26] Order After August 21, 2024 Hearing

08/27/2024 Notice of Entry of Order
[27] Notice of Entry of Order After August 21, 2024 Hearing

10/15/2024 Order
[28] Order After October 15, 2024 Hearing

10/15/2024 Notice of Entry of Order
[29] Notice of Entry of Order After October 15, 2024 Hearing

12/27/2024 Order
[30] Order After December 12, 2024 Hearing

12/30/2024 Notice of Entry of Order
[31] Notice of Entry of Order After December 12, 2024 Hearing

01/06/2025 Notice of Appeal
Filed By: Defendant Baca, Jessie Elias
[32] Notice of Appeal

01/06/2025 Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis
Filed By: Defendant Baca, Jessie Elias
[33] Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis

01/07/2025 Case Appeal Statement
Case Appeal Statement

HEARINGS

01/17/2023 *CANCELED* **Hearing** (8:30 AM) (Judicial Officer: Mastin, Amy M.)
Vacated - per Order
Hearing re: Divorce

08/21/2023 *CANCELED* **Motion** (7:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: McConnell, Regina M.)
Vacated
Defendants Motion for an Order to Enforce and/or for an Order to Show Cause regarding Contempt

08/21/2024 **Motion** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: McConnell, Regina M.)
Motion to Modify Child Custody Visitation and/or Child Support

MINUTES
Granted;
Journal Entry Details:

MOTION: MOTION TO MODIFY CHILD CUSTODY VISITATION AND/OR CHILD SUPPORT The Plaintiff/Mom present, IN PERSON. COURT NOTED, there is NO APPEARANCE from Defendant/Dad. Mom represented the Parties have been exchanging the children; however, there is some safety concerns as the oldest

child has reported chaos and conflict in Dad's home. Mom also stated per the child, Dad expressed wanting to harm Mom's fiance'. Mom reported the 14 year old daughter has not been to visit Dad for over a month and refuses to return to Dad's home. Mom represented, the children may have been neglected while in Dad's care. Court asked Mom to clarify her request. Court stated, Mom already has primary custody de facto based on the current schedule. Court stated it will grant the Motion based on Dad's failure to appear and the representations. THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, TEENAGE DISCRETION is GRANTED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, Mom shall have PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY. Dad's VISITATION shall be on Tuesday morning at 7:00 a.m. and Wednesday at 8:00 p.m. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, all EXCHANGES shall be at Walmart. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, the Parties shall communicate through TALKING PARENTS. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, the Parties shall not DISPARAGE each other or discuss litigation in the presence of the child. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, the TEENAGE CHILD shall not be forced to stay OVERNIGHTS. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, CHILD SUPPORT shall be ENFORCED by the District Attorney's Office-Child Support Services. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, the department shall prepare order.;
Granted

10/15/2024 **Motion** (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: McConnell, Regina M.)
Motion to Modify Child Custody, Visitation and/or Child Support

MINUTES

On for Status Check;
Journal Entry Details:
MOTION: MOTION TO MODIFY CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION AND/OR CHILD SUPPORT COURTROOM CLERK: Teresa Romero (tr) All parties were present IN PERSON. Called the case and stated the hearing's proceedings. Parties SWORN and TESTIFIED. COURT REVIEWED the pleading and papers on file. Court stated there was no opposition filed by Defendant. Upon Court's inquiry, Plaintiff stated she is requesting supervised visitation at Donna's House. Defendant stated he did not receive any of the paperwork and was never served. Defendant stated he was never given a court date and was told by his sister he has a court date today. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant stated he filed a notice of change of address about a month ago after Plaintiff had filed her motion. Court stated Plaintiff stated she filed the motion to Defendant on August 26, 2024 to the 8552 Gold Flash address. Defendant stated he lives at 7701 Crystal Lane Ave. Court stated Defendant has not filed a change of address as it still shows his old address. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant stated he is opposing Plaintiff's motion and requested a continuance. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant stated his next hearing for the criminal case is set for November 5, 2024. Discussion from the Court and the parties in regards to a supervised visitation schedule. Defendant stated at the moment he is staying with a friend and he can not have the kids at the moment due to his pending criminal case. Defendant stated he has a current no contact Order with the minor children from his criminal case. Upon further discussion, COURT ORDERED the following: 1. A Return Hearing Re: Status of Defendant's Criminal Case shall be set for December 12, 2024 at 10:00 a.m.; 2. Presently there is a no contact Order in Defendant's criminal case for him and the minor children which this Court shall continue to abide by; 3. The Court shall prepare the Order for today's hearing. ;
On for Status Check

SCHEDULED HEARINGS

Return Hearing (12/12/2024 at 10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: McConnell, Regina M.)
Re: Status of Criminal Case
Matter Heard

12/12/2024 **Return Hearing** (10:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: McConnell, Regina M.)
Re: Status of Criminal Case

MINUTES

Matter Heard;
Journal Entry Details:
RETURN HEARING: RE: STATUS OF CRIMINAL CASE Defendant/Mom present, IN PERSON. COURT NOTED, there is NO APPEARANCE by Plaintiff/Dad. Mom asked the court what her custodial designation was. Court clarified Mom has primary custody. COURT FINDS, there is no appearance or response from Dad. Colloquy regarding Dad's failure to appear and custody. COURT FURTHER FINDS there is no basis to award SOLE CUSTODY. COURT FURTHER FINDS, Dad has not filed anything with the court. THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, STATUS QUO CONTINUED. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, CHILD SUPPORT shall be enforced through the DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, Mom shall file TAXES pursuant to the IRS RULES. CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was transcribed based on the Jav's Recording. (ajr 12/16/2024);
Matter Heard

SERVICE

08/12/2022 **Summons**
Baca, Jessie Elias

Served: 10/10/2022

Electronically Filed
12/27/2024 1:46 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

PATRECE TERRI BACA,
Plaintiff,

vs.

JESSIE ELIAS BACA,
Defendant.

Case No.: D-22-653146-D
Dept. No.: O

Date of Hearing: December 12, 2024
Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.

ORDER AFTER DECEMBER 12, 2024 HEARING

This matter came on for hearing on December 12, 2024, on a Return Regarding the Status of Defendant's Criminal Case. Plaintiff, PATRECE TERRI BACA ("Mom"), was present in person and representing herself, and Defendant, JESSIE ELIAS BACA ("Dad"), was not present either in person or virtually via Zoom.

THE COURT NOTED that this hearing was originally set for 11:00 a.m. although the Court's calendar reflects a hearing time of 10:00 a.m. The case was called at 11:25 a.m. and Dad is not in appearance for today's hearing.

THE COURT NOTED Mom's statement that she looked online at the criminal case and saw that it had been pushed back so Dad will not have his next hearing until January 2025. Mom stated she is not in contact with Dad at all. Mom stated her concerns regarding claiming all of the children for tax purposes and she inquired about sole physical custody.

THE COURT NOTED that there is a No Contact Order in place, Mom has sole legal custody and primary physical custody and the Court cannot modify custody without an Evidentiary Hearing.

REGINA M. MCCONNELL
DISTRICT JUDGE
FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. O
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

Statistically closed: USJR-FAM-Set/Withdrawn with Judicial Conf/Hearing Close Case (UWJC)

THE COURT FINDS there is no basis to award Mom sole physical custody at this time as she currently has primary physical custody of the minor children.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that due to the criminal case and the No Contact Order that is currently in place, it is in children's best interest that the current visitation orders remain status quo until a final resolution of the criminal case.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Mom shall maintain sole legal custody and primary physical custody of the minor children.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that when Dad's criminal case is concluded, the parties shall be entitled to request mediation to try and reach a new agreement regarding visitation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that child support shall continue to be enforced through the District Attorney Family Support Division.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the parties shall abide by IRS laws regarding filing taxes and claiming the minor children for tax purposes.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall issue the Order from today's hearing and the case shall be closed.

STATUTORY NOTICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125C.0045(6):

> PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125C.0045(7)(8): The terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague

REGINA M. MCCONNELL
DISTRICT JUDGE
FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. O
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country as follows: If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:

> (a) The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.
> (b) Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning the child to his or her habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the parties are subject to the relocation requirements of NRS 125C.006 & NRS 125C.0065.

> If joint or primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and one parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the relocating parent desires to take the child with him or her, the relocating parent shall, before relocating:
>
> (a) attempt to obtain the written consent of the non-relocating parent to relocate with the child; and
> (b) if the non-relocating parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for permission to move and/or for primary physical custody for the purpose of relocating.
>
> A parent who desires to relocate with a child has the burden of proving that relocating with the child is in the best interest of the child. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the relocating parent if the court finds that the nonrelocating parent refused to consent to the relocating parent's relocation with the child without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or for the purpose of harassing the relocating parent. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section without the written consent of the other parent or the permission of the court is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125C.010(1)(b), for purposes of visitation rights of a child, the State of Nevada or the state where the child resides within the United States of America is the habitual residence of the child.

REGINA M. MCCONNELL
DISTRICT JUDGE
FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. O
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the parent having the child support obligation is subject to NAC 425.025 and NRS 31A.010 through 31A.350, inclusive, regarding the immediate withholding or assignment of wages, commissions or bonuses for payment of child support, whether current or delinquent.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and NAC 425.170, either party may request that the Court review the child support obligation every three years or upon changed circumstances.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NAC 425.165 and NRS 425.620, if the order pertains to more than one child and does not allocate a specific amount of the total child support obligation to each child, and if you want to adjust the amount of child support established in this order, you MUST file a motion to modify the order with or submit a stipulation to the court. If a motion to modify the order is not filed or a stipulation is not submitted, the child support obligation established in this order will continue until such time as all children who are the subject of this order reach 18 years of age or, if the youngest child who is subject to this order is still in high school when he or she reaches 18 years of age, when the child graduates from high school or reaches 19 years of age, whichever comes first. Unless the parties agree otherwise in a stipulation, any modification made pursuant to a motion to modify the order will be effective as of the date the motion was filed.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that each party shall submit the information required in NRS 125B.055, NRS 125.130, and 125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days from the date this Decree is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days should any of that information become inaccurate.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that you have an affirmative duty to update any changes in your personal information by filing a Notice of Change of Address form, which can be found at the following link:
https://www.familylawselfhelpcenter.org/images/forms/misc/addresschange-pdf-fillable.pdf

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 27th day of December, 2024

dk

7AB 38E 57CA 8E49
Regina M. McConnell
District Court Judge

REGINA M. MCCONNELL
DISTRICT JUDGE
FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. O
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Petrece Terri Baca, Plaintiff vs. Jessie Elias Baca, Defendant.	CASE NO: D-22-653146-D DEPT. NO. Department O

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Electronic service was attempted through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system, but there were no registered users on the case.

If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mail via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last known addresses on 12/30/2024

Jessie Baca	8552 Gold Flash AVE Las Vegas, NV, 89129
Petrece Baca	2412 Willow Wren DR North Las Vegas, NV, 89084

Electronically Filed
12/30/2024 8:16 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

NEO

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

* * *

Petrece Terri Baca, Plaintiff vs. Jessie Elias Baca, Defendant.	Case No: D-22-653146-D Department O

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

Please take notice that an ORDER AFTER DECEMBER 12, 2024 HEARING was entered in the foregoing action and the following is a true and correct copy thereof.

Dated: December 30, 2024

/s/ Dayna Klingenberg

Dayna Klingenberg
Judicial Executive Assistant
Department O

NEO

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the above file stamp date:

☒ I mailed, via first-class mail, postage fully prepaid, the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER to:

Jessie Elias Baca
8552 Gold Flash AVE
Las Vegas, NV 89129

Petrece Terri Baca
2412 Willow Wren DR
North Las Vegas, NV 89084

/s/ *Dayna Klingenberg*

Dayna Klingenberg
Judicial Executive Assistant
Department O

Electronically Filed
12/27/2024 1:46 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

DISTRICT COURT
FAMILY DIVISION
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

PATRECE TERRI BACA,
Plaintiff,

vs.

JESSIE ELIAS BACA,
Defendant.

Case No.: D-22-653146-D
Dept. No.: O

Date of Hearing: December 12, 2024
Time of Hearing: 10:00 a.m.

ORDER AFTER DECEMBER 12, 2024 HEARING

This matter came on for hearing on December 12, 2024, on a Return Regarding the Status of Defendant's Criminal Case. Plaintiff, PATRECE TERRI BACA ("Mom"), was present in person and representing herself, and Defendant, JESSIE ELIAS BACA ("Dad"), was not present either in person or virtually via Zoom.

THE COURT NOTED that this hearing was originally set for 11:00 a.m. although the Court's calendar reflects a hearing time of 10:00 a.m. The case was called at 11:25 a.m. and Dad is not in appearance for today's hearing.

THE COURT NOTED Mom's statement that she looked online at the criminal case and saw that it had been pushed back so Dad will not have his next hearing until January 2025. Mom stated she is not in contact with Dad at all. Mom stated her concerns regarding claiming all of the children for tax purposes and she inquired about sole physical custody.

THE COURT NOTED that there is a No Contact Order in place, Mom has sole legal custody and primary physical custody and the Court cannot modify custody without an Evidentiary Hearing.

REGINA M. MCCONNELL
DISTRICT JUDGE
FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. O
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

Statistically closed: USJR-FAM-Set/Withdrawn with Judicial Conf/Hearing Close Case (UWJC)

THE COURT FINDS there is no basis to award Mom sole physical custody at this time as she currently has primary physical custody of the minor children.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that due to the criminal case and the No Contact Order that is currently in place, it is in children's best interest that the current visitation orders remain status quo until a final resolution of the criminal case.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Mom shall maintain sole legal custody and primary physical custody of the minor children.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that when Dad's criminal case is concluded, the parties shall be entitled to request mediation to try and reach a new agreement regarding visitation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that child support shall continue to be enforced through the District Attorney Family Support Division.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the parties shall abide by IRS laws regarding filing taxes and claiming the minor children for tax purposes.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall issue the Order from today's hearing and the case shall be closed.

STATUTORY NOTICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125C.0045(6):

> PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE ABDUCTION, CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE AS A CATEGORY D FELONY AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125C.0045(7)(8): The terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague

REGINA M. MCCONNELL
DISTRICT JUDGE
FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. O
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

Conference on Private International Law, apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child in a foreign country as follows: If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:

> (a) The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.
> (b) Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning the child to his or her habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the parties are subject to the relocation requirements of NRS 125C.006 & NRS 125C.0065.

> If joint or primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and one parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the relocating parent desires to take the child with him or her, the relocating parent shall, before relocating:
>
> (a) attempt to obtain the written consent of the non-relocating parent to relocate with the child; and
> (b) if the non-relocating parent refuses to give that consent, petition the court for permission to move and/or for primary physical custody for the purpose of relocating.
>
> A parent who desires to relocate with a child has the burden of proving that relocating with the child is in the best interest of the child. The court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the relocating parent if the court finds that the nonrelocating parent refused to consent to the relocating parent's relocation with the child without having reasonable grounds for such refusal, or for the purpose of harassing the relocating parent. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section without the written consent of the other parent or the permission of the court is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125C.010(1)(b), for purposes of visitation rights of a child, the State of Nevada or the state where the child resides within the United States of America is the habitual residence of the child.

REGINA M. MCCONNELL
DISTRICT JUDGE
FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. O
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the parent having the child support obligation is subject to NAC 425.025 and NRS 31A.010 through 31A.350, inclusive, regarding the immediate withholding or assignment of wages, commissions or bonuses for payment of child support, whether current or delinquent.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125B.145 and NAC 425.170, either party may request that the Court review the child support obligation every three years or upon changed circumstances.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NAC 425.165 and NRS 425.620, if the order pertains to more than one child and does not allocate a specific amount of the total child support obligation to each child, and if you want to adjust the amount of child support established in this order, you MUST file a motion to modify the order with or submit a stipulation to the court. If a motion to modify the order is not filed or a stipulation is not submitted, the child support obligation established in this order will continue until such time as all children who are the subject of this order reach 18 years of age or, if the youngest child who is subject to this order is still in high school when he or she reaches 18 years of age, when the child graduates from high school or reaches 19 years of age, whichever comes first. Unless the parties agree otherwise in a stipulation, any modification made pursuant to a motion to modify the order will be effective as of the date the motion was filed.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that each party shall submit the information required in NRS 125B.055, NRS 125.130, and 125.230 on a separate form to the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days from the date this Decree is filed. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and not part of the public record. The parties shall update the information filed with the Court and the Welfare Division of the Department of Human Resources within ten days should any of that information become inaccurate.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that you have an affirmative duty to update any changes in your personal information by filing a Notice of Change of Address form, which can be found at the following link:
https://www.familylawselfhelpcenter.org/images/forms/misc/addresschange-pdf-fillable.pdf

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 27th day of December, 2024



dk

7AB 38E 57CA 8E49
Regina M. McConnell
District Court Judge

REGINA M. MCCONNELL
DISTRICT JUDGE
FAMILY DIVISION, DEPT. O
LAS VEGAS, NV 89101-2408

CSERV

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Petrece Terri Baca, Plaintiff vs. Jessie Elias Baca, Defendant.	CASE NO: D-22-653146-D DEPT. NO. Department O

AUTOMATED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Electronic service was attempted through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system, but there were no registered users on the case.

If indicated below, a copy of the above mentioned filings were also served by mail via United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the parties listed below at their last known addresses on 12/30/2024

Jessie Baca	8552 Gold Flash AVE Las Vegas, NV, 89129
Petrece Baca	2412 Willow Wren DR North Las Vegas, NV, 89084

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** August 21, 2024

D-22-653146-D Petrece Terri Baca, Plaintiff
vs.
Jessie Elias Baca, Defendant.

August 21, 2024 **10:00 AM** **Motion**

HEARD BY: McConnell, Regina M. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 04

COURT CLERK: Ameiona Ratcliff

PARTIES:

Daniel Baca, Subject Minor, not present	
Jessie Baca, Defendant, not present	Pro Se
Kaili Baca, Subject Minor, not present	
Kallie Baca, Subject Minor, not present	
Leilani Baca, Subject Minor, not present	
Petrece Baca, Plaintiff, present	Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION: MOTION TO MODIFY CHILD CUSTODY VISITATION AND/OR CHILD SUPPORT

The Plaintiff/Mom present, IN PERSON.

COURT NOTED, there is NO APPEARANCE from Defendant/Dad.

Mom represented the Parties have been exchanging the children; however, there is some safety concerns as the oldest child has reported chaos and conflict in Dad's home. Mom also stated per the child, Dad expressed wanting to harm Mom's fiance'. Mom reported the 14 year old daughter has not been to visit Dad for over a month and refuses to return to Dad's home. Mom represented, the children may have been neglected while in Dad's care.

Court asked Mom to clarify her request. Court stated, Mom already has primary custody de facto based on the current schedule. Court stated it will grant the Motion based on Dad's failure to appear and the representations.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, TEENAGE DISCRETION is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, Mom shall have PRIMARY PHYSICAL CUSTODY. Dad's VISITATION shall be on Tuesday morning at 7:00 a.m. and Wednesday at 8:00 p.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, all EXCHANGES shall be at Walmart.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, the Parties shall communicate through TALKING PARENTS.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, the Parties shall not DISPARAGE each other or discuss litigation in the presence of the child.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, the TEENAGE CHILD shall not be forced to stay OVERNIGHTS.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, CHILD SUPPORT shall be ENFORCED by the District Attorney's Office-Child Support Services.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, the department shall prepare order.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** October 15, 2024

D-22-653146-D Petrece Terri Baca, Plaintiff
vs.
Jessie Elias Baca, Defendant.

October 15, 2024 9:00 AM Motion

HEARD BY: McConnell, Regina M. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 04

COURT CLERK: Teresa Romero

PARTIES:
Daniel Baca, Subject Minor, not present
Jessie Baca, Defendant, present Pro Se
Kaili Baca, Subject Minor, not present
Kallie Baca, Subject Minor, not present
Leilani Baca, Subject Minor, not present
Petrece Baca, Plaintiff, present Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- MOTION: MOTION TO MODIFY CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION AND/OR CHILD SUPPORT

COURTROOM CLERK: Teresa Romero (tr)

All parties were present IN PERSON.

Called the case and stated the hearing's proceedings.

Parties SWORN and TESTIFIED.

COURT REVIEWED the pleading and papers on file. Court stated there was no opposition filed by Defendant. Upon Court's inquiry, Plaintiff stated she is requesting supervised visitation at Donna's House.

Defendant stated he did not receive any of the paperwork and was never served. Defendant stated he

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

was never given a court date and was told by his sister he has a court date today. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant stated he filed a notice of change of address about a month ago after Plaintiff had filed her motion. Court stated Plaintiff stated she filed the motion to Defendant on August 26, 2024 to the 8552 Gold Flash address. Defendant stated he lives at 7701 Crystal Lane Ave. Court stated Defendant has not filed a change of address as it still shows his old address. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant stated he is opposing Plaintiff's motion and requested a continuance. Upon Court's inquiry, Defendant stated his next hearing for the criminal case is set for November 5, 2024.

Discussion from the Court and the parties in regards to a supervised visitation schedule. Defendant stated at the moment he is staying with a friend and he can not have the kids at the moment due to his pending criminal case. Defendant stated he has a current no contact Order with the minor children from his criminal case.

Upon further discussion, COURT ORDERED the following:

1. A Return Hearing Re: Status of Defendant's Criminal Case shall be set for December 12, 2024 at 10:00 a.m.;

2. Presently there is a no contact Order in Defendant's criminal case for him and the minor children which this Court shall continue to abide by;

3. The Court shall prepare the Order for today's hearing.

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS:

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Divorce - Complaint **COURT MINUTES** December 12, 2024

D-22-653146-D Petrece Terri Baca, Plaintiff
vs.
Jessie Elias Baca, Defendant.

December 12, 2024 10:00 AM **Return Hearing**

HEARD BY: McConnell, Regina M. **COURTROOM:** Courtroom 04

COURT CLERK: Teresa Romero

PARTIES:

Daniel Baca, Subject Minor, not present	
Jessie Baca, Defendant, not present	Pro Se
Kaili Baca, Subject Minor, not present	
Kallie Baca, Subject Minor, not present	
Leilani Baca, Subject Minor, not present	
Petrece Baca, Plaintiff, present	Pro Se

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- RETURN HEARING: RE: STATUS OF CRIMINAL CASE

Defendant/Mom present, IN PERSON.

COURT NOTED, there is NO APPEARANCE by Plaintiff/Dad.

Mom asked the court what her custodial designation was.

Court clarified Mom has primary custody.

COURT FINDS, there is no appearance or response from Dad.

Colloquy regarding Dad's failure to appear and custody.

COURT FURTHER FINDS there is no basis to award SOLE CUSTODY.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

COURT FURTHER FINDS, Dad has not filed anything with the court.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, STATUS QUO CONTINUED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, CHILD SUPPORT shall be enforced through the DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, Mom shall file TAXES pursuant to the IRS RULES.

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order was transcribed based on the Jav's Recording. (ajr 12/16/2024)

INTERIM CONDITIONS:

FUTURE HEARINGS: Dec 12, 2024 10:00AM Return Hearing
Re: Status of Criminal Case
Courtroom 04 McConnell, Regina M.

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada
County of Clark } **SS:**

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER AFTER DECEMBER 12, 2024 HEARING; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES

PETRECE TERRI BACA,

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

JESSIE ELIAS BACA,

Defendant(s),

Case No: D-22-653146-D

Dept No: O

now on file and of record in this office.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 7 day of January 2025.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

/s/ Cierra Borum
Cierra Borum, Deputy Clerk