of her children in jeopardy. The perpetrator of the problems with custody to begin with, Dale, is now put in the driver's seat to maneuver everything in the direction he had intended for it to go with custody in the first place. Namely, that he take custody of BOTH children from Donna, as can be evidenced through his pleadings, his threats against Donna, and his most recent actions;

8). That Donna is possibly to be mentally evaluated and not Dale, also causes Donna great concern. Though the initial evaluations with a counselor on the "reunification" are to be "neutral" this word is used too frequently in the Order for comfort. How can one be deemed neutral prior to any assessments? Liz Ritchett was "neutral" was suppose to evaluate Danielle re the shoplifting incident---yet, she met with Dale who gave a history on Donna and Danielle; she never met with Donna; and she never addressed the shoplifting incident with Danielle! Definitely, the results were not "neutral". Donna believes that the turn of events now with "reunification" compromise it.

9). Donna believes that due to the prior problems in this case with the Hearing Orders and Minute Orders, that there could be attempts by Dale to have events unfold that will at last, for him, put a change of custody in place through a Evidentiary Hearing just on that matter, so that what he did do, along with the Court, was justified. Donna also believes that any Evidentiary Hearing for custody in this way, would open the door for Dale to take Drew from Donna, which he threatened her with in the past---and that a mental evaluation on just Donna would become his basis for that as it could be biased and lopsided.

Donna does not support any mental evaluation on her, without one on Dale. It is his contemptuous behavior and

malicious actions against Donna that need to be examined. Dale is the party who needs "anger management"; parental classes so that he sees the benefit of and encourages a healthy relationship between Danielle and her mother. Donna has done nothing irrational or out of line with the circumstances she has suffered through. Dale has;

10). Donna would state for the Record that she believes there is extreme prejudice against her---not only to set a reunification against a backdrop such as stated above---since there is an extremely short 2 and ½ months time period to accomplish a "reunification" between her and Danielle, and especially one that could then be used <u>if not successful</u> to determine in an Evidentiary Hearing, a change of custody! Further, it is of great disservice to Donna as she not only tried to take consistently get counseling/reunification in the past year, but she made this known to the Court through evidence that was ignored. Donna tried to get Danielle much needed counseling through the DA's program "Back In Control" and that was quashed by Dale, with the Court stating it didn't care about that.

It has been nearly a year and a half since Dale removed Danielle from Donna's lawful custody time. Danielle has some serious behavioral problems which have gone unaddressed throughout this time. How can she get well, healthy, and reunify with Donna, her mother, now in a few counseling sessions? And, if Dale is involved, why will he not seek to support Danielle's defiance against her mother, as he has done in the past? Donna feels very uncomfortable with the whole turn of events and she will be very watchful, decisive, on how this issue is handled.

Donna on Record is placing her concerns about the "reunification" at this stage. And she specifically, is leery about the venue changes. Any Evidentiary Hearing in this case needs to be on ALL THE ISSUES as everything is bound together and dates back to the Divorce Decree/MSA which Donna attacks, challenges, and seeks to Set Aside. Donna is the party asking for full disclosure, and she has given her cooperation at all times. It is a travesty of justice, that the party seeking to conceal, alter, change fraudulently, commit rampant misconduct, and is in contempt more than not---should escape scrutiny and a Trial/Evidentiary Hearing. Not only does Dale escape it, he is almost rewarded by the Court while Donna is admonished and denied her day in Court.

X.

CONCLUSION

Donna requests that this Honorable Court grant her Motion For Reconsideration or, in the alternative, that it move under emergency basis to set an Evidentiary Hearing or Trial. This Court must remember, too, that Dale back in August of '98 was the parent who took Danielle illegally from her mother's custody---and then would not return her or encourage any communication to help foster the daughter/mother relationship. Donna did all she could, even the "cooling off" advise of her attorney & counselor, and even attempted to make several counseling appointments at that time---but to no avail. But Donna is not the party who violated the Decree/MSA, or the State Laws.

The issues in this case and the circumstances of this case are not beyond the competence of the Court to consider through lawful Trial and Evidentiary Hearing. To allow a case of this fraudulent problematic magnitude which resulted from a

Decree/MSA violated, stripped, and ineffective under and with erroneous standards in every way---is a "blanket delegation which approaches an unallowable abdication by jurist of constitutional responsibilities and duties".

Attached Exhibit "B" is a copy of page 6 from Dale's Opposition to Donna's Emergency Motion(s) re the Domestic Violence he committed against Donna that she raised before the Court. Donna has also attached copies from the "treating physicians" at Summerlin Hospital---and there are no papers indicating that Dale was the "treating physician" as he falsely claims in his pleadings. Donna was told by Summerlin that Dale is not "Director" there---as he falsely claims in his pleadings. None of the reports show that Danielle was stressed out re Donna...quite the contrary. Donna's name is not even mentioned in the reports or brought up as to possible information re Danielle's condition. Donna was never informed about Danielle's prognosis, in fact, at that time the Doctors refused to even respond the Donna, the mother's, requests.

Attached Exhibit "C" is the current report card on Danielle which shows that her grades have been slipping. Donna believes that while in Dale's care, as she testified to in her Emergency Motion(s) and her Opposition to Dale's, Danielle's computer use was an issue that caused problems for Donna when she tried to monitor her daughter---and Dale let's her do this unchecked. Donna believes this plays a part in the fact that Dale does not discipline Danielle as he should, rather he appeases her more. Danielle needs discipline the discipline and guidance of her mother, Donna. If Danielle is so well-adjusted and in a healthy environment, under appropriate support, guidance, and discipline---why are her grades worse than ever?

Donna would advise this Court that the school had tried to keep Donna from getting Danielle's report card, stating that Danielle's grades were poor and Dale had informed them that Donna couldn't get a copy until the school had conference with him about it. Donna, as always, did inform the School that she still had "legal custody" of Danielle and had a right to have access to all the records, information, just as Dale did. This is the same School, Faith Lutheran, where Donna at the end of December '99 was told by the Principle that Dale was his "Family Doctor".

Attached Exhibit "D" are recent pictures of Dale's two new high-end purchases---a '99 Deisel Dually Truck and a 33 foot 5th Wheel Travel Trailer. This is the Doctor, with six jobs, who convinced this Court that he was only making $5,000.00 a month---and this Court eliminated Donna's child support based on this false claim. Not only are these vehicles very expensive---so is their upkeep, the gas, etc. The places these vehicles would be used is also a clue that there for expensive vacations. Donna is outraged and moves for this Court to have an Evidentiary Hearing/Trial where all of the facts, documents, and evidence can be placed on Court Record. To date, Donna sent a letter to Dale on the QDROs as she has received nothing from him as ordered by this Court---and he, again, does not respond to her. Dale has made a mockery of this Court and needs to be held accountable, and Donna needs justice through Due Process, Fairness, and Equity.

DATED this 11th day of February, 2000.

Donna M. Albright

DONNA M. ALBRIGHT

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 11th day of February, 2000, I did deposit in the United States Post Office, at Las Vegas, Nevada, in a sealed envelope with postage fully pre-paid thereon, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, EMERGENCY MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE EVIDENTIARY HEARING RE ALL DECREE/MSA ISSUES OF PROPERTY, CUSTODY, AND SUPPORT and the SUPPLEMENT to same, to the address indicated below in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

NICHOLAS J. SANTORO, ESQ.
AND
ELIZABETH E. WACHSMAN, ESQ.
3773 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 290N
Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

Donna M. Albright

DONNA M. ALBRIGHT

AFFIDAVIT OF DONNA M. ALBRIGHT IN SUPPORT OF SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, EMERGENCY MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE EVIDENTIARY HEARING RE ALL DECREE/MSA ISSUES OF PROPERTY, CUSTODY, AND SUPPORT

State of Nevada)
) ss:
County of Clark)

DONNA M. ALBRIGHT, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. That she is the Defendant in the above-entitled action herein; is a competent witness; knows all the matters contained herein of her own personal knowledge and except of those things stated on information and belief, she believes the same to be true and is competent to testify thereto;

2. That she has read the SUPPLEMENT TO MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, EMERGENCY MOTION FOR IMMEDIATE EVIDENTIARY HEARING RE ALL DECREE/MSA ISSUES OF PROPERTY, CUSTODY, AND SUPPORT herein presented in its entirety and adopts all the factual statements made herein;

3. That Donna's supporter, typist, did get sick over the weekend, and that Donna had entered this fact to the Court with the target date of Tuesday the 8th for the filing of her SUPPLEMENT but that Donna then had some appointments to keep and she had to purchase supplies for the meetings to then finish her pleadings;

/

4. Donna had intended to file her SUPPLEMENT yesterday, February 10th but she had a appointment with the Health Department re checkups such as pap smear;

5. Donna has just had the opportunity to file her SUPPLEMENT and she again apologizes to the Court and to the opposing side for any inconvenience caused by the emergency circumstances that could not be avoided.

6 Donna has entered just one Exhibit to this SUPPLEMENT "A" showing one of the appointments/examinations she had to have on February 10, 2000, at the Health Department (because Donna has no medical insurance), which ran late and she had to reschedule her Pro Per meeting.

FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

DATED this 11 day of February, 2000.

Donna M. Albright
DONNA M. ALBRIGHT

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this 11 day of February, 2000.

Donna M. Stanton
NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said County and State.

NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF NEVADA
County of Clark
DONNA M. STANTON
No: 97-3357-1
My Appointment Expires Sept. 15, 2001

AFFIDAVIT OF SHIRLEE D. LASPINA OF P.O.W.E.R. JUSTICE I.N.C. IN SUPPORT OF D0NNA M. ALBRIGHT

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF CLARK)

SHIRLEE D. LASPINA, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. I am the creator of P.O.W.E.R. JUSTICE I.N.C. which was developed to assist, educate, and in other ways support those in Proper Person---or who have retained attorneys---and are involved in contentious divorces. I have read Donna M. Albright's EMERGENCY MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION and SUPPLEMENT TO same and have personal knowledge of the factual allegations contained herein, and am competent to testify thereto;

2. That my own divorce case, Knerr v. Knerr, from 1992 forward led to the creation of my Organization---after litigants (especially women); State Officials; N.O.W. & other Organizations; Practicing Attorneys; and numerous Media sources heard of the rights' violations & damages I had suffered in Family Court and my attempts as justice, due process, and restitution---encouraged me to take an active role in exposing the problems;

3. That I was brought before the State Bar's "Board Of Governors" by Franny Forsman, then Public Defender, and Cathy Olendorff, then President of the State Bar in 1995 because of severe problems/misrepresentations/misconduct, etc., as I had identified how litigants by way of their very own divorce lawyers were harmed in divorces; and the "abuse of process" by opposing attorneys, especially in those contentious divorce cases where an opposing spouse was deemed to have either money, position, prestige or power, as typically were certain Law Firms that they retained;

4. That I was the subject of two Documentaries on divorce here in Las Vegas; of numerous Channel 8 investigations as well as other TV stations; of numerous local Radio Shows; of numerous stories covered by the Review Journal and the Sun Newspapers; and I was contributor to the Nevada Legal Guide For Women by then Supreme Court Justice Charles Springer and Nevada Women's Commission Chair, Paula Quagliana; including numerous other publicity;

5. That Donna was put in touch with me when her attorney, Greg Gordon of "Jolley Urga Wirth & Woodbury", dropped her case. She first sought other attorneys to hire, and then because of limited funds and a complicated/contentious case she contacted Paula Quagliana of the Women's Commission; turned to the media for help/contacts; and to another Pro Per litigant who directed her to my Organization & services for Proper Person;

6. That Donna placed several calls to me while she was still attempting to find an attorney and I informed her that I was not an attorney nor a paralegal---and that I could not give her legal advise but only set an appointment with her to help her proceed in Proper Person if that was her decision;

7. That prior to working with Donna, I gave her a "client letter" on how I would help her to help herself and that she would be included, do the work, every step of the way; That I would educate her through access to various written materials; through my past experiences; and through those of other Pro Pers I had helped in the Family Court over the past 6 years; That this education in Proper Person, and her work in preparing her pleadings and examining Court procedures, statutes, etc., would include much hard work and serious study; That I would not make decisions for her, and if I even gave an opinion on something she asked me about---I made sure that she understood every one and every case is different and she needed to consider many

different criteria before forming her own determinations on what and how she did what she decided to go forward on;

8. That I then read through every document in Donna's case file along with her extensive notes & journal. I examined the problems she outlined to me and showed me on the Court Videos, and by way of her documents. I discovered that numerous convolutions existed with the case Minute Orders, Hearing Orders, Video Tapes, and her prior Decree/MSA---which did not accurately or lawfully reflect the actual Hearings/videos, or even each other, or the original Orders of the Judge, just as Donna complained to me. This was nearly identical to what had occurred in my divorce case with same, and I had witnessed this over & over in other Pro Per client's cases.

I was not surprised to find that the Attorneys representing Donna's ex-husband were initially from the same Law Firm that represented my ex-husband---I recognized many of the same tactics; phrases; and modes of operation. This included how the attorneys representing our cases also handled themselves up against this type of Law Firm. Donna also kept impeccable notes and copies of her journals, documents, questions, etc., that she brought to her Attorneys' attention;

9. That I accompanied Donna to her 1st meeting with her ex husband's attorney, Radford Smith, after she received a letter and Stipulation & Order that she considered very threatening as it tried to force her to sign an Order changing primary physical custody of her daughter to her ex husband which eliminated her 50/50 shared physical custody status---which she claimed never occurred in the Family Court and which caught her totally unaware. They also threatened her with the loss of custody on her son, Drew. She was also attacked by way of a "hostile witness" letter from a person who had been a friend, and she was

threatened with a defamation suit if she talked about her ex husband, their relationship, past, etc.;

10. That Donna composed a response letter and set up the meeting to see if she could get matters corrected. At this same time, Donna's attorneys had suddenly withdrawn, the threats were made against her, and she discovered that it was her own Attorney, Greg Gordon, who wrote a fraudulent Hearing Order of 1/25/99 with language affirming a primary physical change in custody had been done by the Court;

11. That Donna was shocked & appalled at the chain of events and was adamant about going forward in whatever ways possible in Proper Person to get facts & evidence on Record, matters examined, and the truths out in the open;

12. That because I cannot DO the work for my clients, Donna started meeting with me numerous times during the week to begin to understand her case, organize the issues/documents, and take what steps were necessary to get the issues back before the Court for the fairness & equity & due process she sought;

13. That from the beginning in 1999, Donna had new divorce problems & convolutions cropping up almost weekly that she would also have to deal with; That they would set her back financially, emotionally, mentally, etc.; This also was reminiscent to me of the severe problems I endured in the Family Court System with divorce lawyers...along with what I have witnessed that occurs to other litigants in these type of drawn-out, contentious cases; That Donna further suffered greatly beyond many others, as she had lost not only custody of her daughter but she had no visitation, first right of refusal or other contact arranged for not only herself but her immediate family, she had her child support removed, and she was a very worried that she would be evicted to the street;

14. That from the beginning in 1999, Donna was extremely devastated over what had occurred with her daughter and how it also effected her son; That Donna tried to schedule all her appointments with me around her son Drew, to make sure he was taken care of and she would call him from my home to check on him if she did have an appointment with me; That on occasions, we had to leave an appointment to pick up her son, and in his presence---Donna was very careful to not talk about the work going on between us; That she never put Drew in the middle; bad mouthed his father; or talked about any Court matters; etc.;

15. That from the beginning in 1999, Donna made me aware of several health emergencies that occurred to her through 1997 at that time during the divorce problems. I accompanied her to one of her appointments, for support and comfort as a friend, where she was extremely concerned re a mammagram check-up;

16. That I became aware during 1999 that Donna was having dental problems and was in need of an examination and dental work done. I talked over with Donna and let her know that I had collected several published articles where it was discovered, acknowledged, that stress plays a big role in dental problems---especially for women. Donna was very concerned because of the problems she had with NO Health Insurance, and she need to have some extensive work done, which she could not afford. She was devastated to then be turned down by another Carrier because Dale's would not cover her because of pre-existing problems;

17. That I became aware during 1999 that Donna had been in counseling and she scheduled many Pro Per appointments with me around her bi-weekly counseling appointments. Donna was very devastated regarding what she felt her attorneys had done to her, along with Dale, and his attorneys---but she always focused on setting things straight and getting her fair share awards. She was very hurt and saddened at the turn of events, especially

with her daughter Danielle. I have met with a lot of litigants, read numerous articles about divorce, and am in touch with other groups outside of Nevada. Donna was very normal in her responses as far as the grief she felt in the process. She had better balance of herself to face what she was up against, then any other litigant I have helped;

18. That I prepared an Affidavit in support of Donna for her fee dispute & ethic complaint against her attorneys which was Exhibit "Z" for her EMERGENCY MOTIONS heard December 30, 1999;

19. That I felt compelled to support Donna further through this current Affidavit when she had me read her ex husband's Oppositon/Countermotion where her character was attacked and her mental abilities were questioned; and she was referred to as in a "vegetative state"; That I would testify in Court on Donna's behalf as I spent so much time with her, educating her in Proper Person, helping her write her pleadings, organizing her documentation, accompanying her to attorney meetings & Court, etc., from 1999 through to the present; That I also spent much time with Donna, without charge, for emotional support and those times when she was upset with what was happening to her;

20. That based on all my work through the past 6 years, Donna was one of the most organized & focused persons that I educated in Proper Person; That it is not uncommon for Pro Pers to be overwhelmed & depressed when they are left on their own to educate themselves so that they can even fight for their lawful rights and what assets/awards should have been theirs in the first place, and for what they paid their own attorneys handsome fees to ensure for them; That Donna was far better than most in this battle, and at no time was she evenly REMOTELY vegetative; That sometimes day in and day out, she read materials, prepared pleadings, examined statutes, etc., which she could not have accomplished unless she was alert & energetic;

21. That there were times that Donna and I went to lunch, shopping, and I had occasions to see her in social settings where she expressed humor, a bubbly personality, and she never displayed either anger, withdrawal, or a controlling personality; That having worked on stage, radio, TV, in various formats throughout my Life---I feel I am able to say that Donna has handled her circumstances, the divorce, and what has occurred against her, way beyond what most in her situation could have; That I am also aware that the opposing sides in divorce often use these undermining tactics to take the spotlight off themselves and they attack with everything illogical because they have no logical facts, evidence, or laws to support themselves with;

22. That in that Hearing of December 30, 1999, I was referred to by Judge Steel and she asked Attorney Santoro and Dale if they wanted her to recuse herself because she had advocated for me with my divorce problems when she ran a Pro Per Support services. She stated that this was now due to the fact that I had entered an Affidavit for Donna in her State Bar Complaint against her prior attorneys (as I had been Donna's witness when she held the meeting with them). Judge Steel had also referred to me at the 5/5/99 Hearing on Dale's Motion;

23. That I am compelled to set the Record straight on numerous points. It is erroneously stated in the Hearing Order that Judge Steel was a "counsel" to me, making it sound like she was my attorney. She was not. Judge Steel was never my counsel. As an attorney in 1993, Diane Steel operated a business specifically "Pro Per Support" services, where I first came into contact with her. I paid Diane to help me, help myself---mostly to the proper forms and how to file them---as she told me since she was an attorney, this was all she was supposed to do. Diane was very sympathetic to my problems and actually expressed

disbelief at what my very own attorneys had done or not done. She did advocate for me before NOW and sent a letter to their Legal Defense Fund headquarters in New York where she outlined the problems with the Family Court, the judges, and attorneys. She put me in touch with numerous other sources to try and help me; and she introduced me to other Pro Pers so that we could try and work together, help each other;

24. That as an attorney, Diane helped to educate me in Proper Person; encouraged me to go forward and help others in Pro Per; and to fight the Family Court, judges, and attorneys in whatever ways I could; She helped to educate me further as to the U.S. Constitution, the EDCRs and Family Court, and it was on her suggestion that I went to the Law Library to examine/copy the Judge's Oaths Of Office with the State of Nevada;

25. That now as a Judge, Dianne Steel is well-aware of my work/advocacy; That when newly elected, I had the opportunity to talk with her in her chambers at Family Court; That she had seen me in Family Court working on Pro Per cases, along with several Hearings held in her own Court; **BUT THAT AT NO TIME**, did I ever discuss any specific cases or clients with Judge Steel; That I have not spoken on a personal basis, or any basis, to Judge Steel since early 1997, with only one brief occasion towards the end of 1999 when Judge Steel saw me look into her Courtroom and she sent her bailiff out after me to call me in to say hello, etc.; That though I was working with Donna, and Donna had already appeared as Pro Per before Judge Steel with me supporting her in Court---neither Donna, nor her case, have ever been referred to by me;

26. That I believe Judge Steel's comments regarding me in Court are inappropriate and serve no purpose; That what I do through supporting & advocating for those in Proper Person is not

"judge" specific but based on all that I learned regarding the System, our rights in Proper Person, etc.; That my past client relationship with Judge Steel when she had a Pro Per Support service, has nothing to do with the present circumstances of what I do in the Family Court; That Judge Steel was only ONE of numerous channels that I had for my education, experiences, and expertise in this area; and it would be near impossible to have everyone exclude themselves or recuse themselves from the Pro Pers I support, as nearly every official, and legislative persons, along with the majority of judges & attorneys and Court Administrators, etc., know me and what I do; my work and credibility shines on its own;

27. That after the 12/30/99 Hearing on Donna's Emergency Motion(s) she was especially shocked and plunged into despair as she had worked so hard and felt certain her evidence would be examined and that matters would finally be resolved. This is often the case with Pro Pers, some of whom never come to terms with understanding how their rights could be so violated, and they could suffer such unfair losses (including their children and support). She felt the Court made fun of her efforts and that she took the U.S. Constitution seriously, along with the Laws that she felt should have protected her;

28. That Donna has had a difficult time understanding how her truths could be ignored, while she has shown that Dale committed misconduct and violated the Decree/MSA, etc. Donna shows resilience, quite contrary to a vegetative state, in that she bounces back with the same fervor to get through the task she took on to begin with;

29. That I would testify on behalf of Donna re what is stated herein in a Court of Law under Oath. I have great respect and compassion for these Pro Per litigants, especially women

fighting for their homes, assets, rights, health insurance, rehab support, alimony, and many times their own children--- because I have walked for a long time in their shoes.

DATED this 11th day of February ___, 2000.

FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Shirlee D. LaSpina

SHIRLEE D. LASPINA

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before
Me this 11 day of February, 2000.

Donna M. Stanton

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said
County and State.

NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF NEVADA
County of Clark
DONNA M. STANTON
No: 97-3357-1
My Appointment Expires Sept. 15, 2001

V THERE HAS BEEN NO DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AGAINST DONNA BY DALE

The alleged recent act of domestic violence by Dale against Donna stems from an incident which occurred on May 7, 1999 when the parties' child Danielle suffered a potentially life-threatening seizure. Danielle was taken to Summerlin Medical Center where Dale is the Medical Director. As he would with any such patient, Dale examined Danielle and held her for further testing. He then contacted Donna to inform her of the seizure. When Donna indicated that she wanted to see Danielle, Danielle responded that she was not up to seeing Donna and told her not to come to the hospital. Fearing that Danielle (who had not seen Donna for almost a year due to Donna's failure to schedule reunification counseling) might suffer another life-threatening seizure upon seeing Donna, Dale told Donna not to come to the hospital at that time, but that she could see Danielle once she had stabilized.

Despite Dale's indications that Danielle's health and life might be in danger upon dealing with the stress of seeing Donna at that time, Donna came to the emergency room anyway and headed to a restricted patient care area in order to see Danielle. Acting as Danielle's treating physician and fearing for her safety and life (as well as for other patients), Dale escorted Donna out of the restricted area and out of the hospital by holding onto her arm. It should be noted that the parties' other child Drew was present at this time as well.

Despite the fact that Dale was the treating physician for Danielle and she was still in the emergency room, Donna then contacted the police to report an act of domestic violence. The police then arrived at the hospital and handcuffed Dale in front of the minor children, the hospital staff and patients. Once the police realized that Dale's actions were in an attempt to protect the minor child's life, they released Dale. However, Dale suffered the embarrassment and humiliation of being handcuffed and placed under arrest in front of his children, peers and patients simply because Donna put herself before Danielle's health and safety.

As a result of the foregoing, any Motion by Donna regarding alleged domestic violence should be denied.

JAMES, DRIGGS, WALCH, SANTORO, KEARNEY, JOHNSON & THOMPSON
3773 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY, SUITE 290N, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89109
(702) 791-0308 - FAX (702) 791-1912

Dr. LaMancusa MD.
657 Town Center Dr.
Las Vegas, NV 89134

2-10-2000

Dear DR LaMancusa,

I am requesting all copies of documents regarding my daughter Danielle Albright. The 12/30/99 court hearing ordered Dale to make sure I receive all legal documents regarding both children. I would like to know if my daughter does have epilepsy and whether she is taking medication and how long - if she is. Will this impair her from driving?

I am disappointed you haven't responded to my requests in the past. When I called your office previously, your secretary responded "DR LaMancusa told me if you called again, to tell you to ask Dale for information. Dale is the father, but he is not Danielle's "treating physician, as most of the Summerlin Hospital's paperwork or requested tests imply such. I find it odd that knowing I was present in the ER on 5/7/99. you wouldn't get a "history" from Danielle's "maternal" side. As I stated before, epilepsy runs on my mother's side. I would like to know what the electroencephalogram produced and what the medication Danielle may be on is.

270-2000

I'm hoping you will release her records to me so I can understand what actually occurred with her episode.

Thank you for your time in this matter.

Sincerely

Donna Albright
889-9368
7881 Rainshower DR
Las Vegas, NV 89147

J. Paul Wiesner, M.D.
Marc Pomerantz, M.D.
Morgan Parry, M.D.
Richard Remark, M.D.
Dean Easton, M.D.
Michael Eisenberg, M.D.
Steve Zwerdlinger, M.D.
Robert Friedman, M.D.

SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL
MEDICAL CENTER
A Member of The Valley Health System
657 Town Center Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Allen Franco, M.D.
Danny Eisenberg, M.D.
Dana Murakami, M.D.
Stan Liu, M.D.
Paul Elkens, M.D.
John Rule, M.D.
James Mandigo III, M.D.
Steven Bessen, M.D.
George Hristic, M.D.

ALAN STANLEY, M.D.
EMERGENCY M.D.

PATIENT: ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE MED REC #: 30478

DATE: 05/07/99 X-RAY #: 017901

DOB:06/15/84 VT:ER ROOM #: ACCOUNT #: 0000535492

CT SCAN OF THE HEAD:

HISTORY: Seizure.

TECHNIQUE: Axial images are obtained through the head pre and post contrast.

FINDINGS: Asymmetry in the appearance of the lateral ventricles with the right lateral ventricle larger than the left. This may just be a normal varient. Other possibilities to consider include web or obstruction at the level of the foramen of Monro on the right. If this finding has not been previously evaluated, I would recommend obtaining an MRI of the brain with gadolinium contrast enhancement with specific attention to the area of the foramen of Monro. No evidence of any subdural or subarachnoid fluid collections and no midline shift or mass effect is seen.

IMPRESSION:
1. Asymmetric appearance of the ventricles as described above. If not previously evaluated, please obtain MRI with gadolinium enhancement.

RICHARD REMARK, M.D.
SUMMERLIN MEDICAL CENTER 233-7300
DNM
D. 05/07/99
T. 05/09/99 09:49
ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE

SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER
657 TOWN CENTER DRIVE
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89134

CONSULTATION REPORT

CONSULTANT: JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA, MD

REQUESTED BY: DALE A. ALBRIGHT, DO

CONSULTATION DATE: 05/07/99

NEUROLOGY CONSULTATION

Danielle is a 14-year-old white right-handed female who was brought to Summerlin Hospital Emergency Room this evening with a syncopal episode associated with a 20 second episode of tonic-clonic activity and a postictal state. According to the father who is Dr. Dale Albright who is well-known to me, the patient was in her usual state of health. She was at dinner at East Side Mario's with her father when she had apparently inhaled a helium balloon about thirty seconds before she passed out. This lasted for about 30 seconds. She felt quite light-headed and fell to the ground. She apparently went unresponsive. After she fell she had 20 seconds of tonic-clonic activity and then had about a one minute postictal state and then cleared. She is back to baseline. She has been afebrile. This episode was not associated with incontinence. She has no history of similar events. She has no history of closed head injury, no history of seizures. She had a head CT without contrast which was normal.

PAST MEDICAL HISTORY: Negative.

CURRENT MEDICATIONS: None.

MEDICATION ALLERGIES: NONE.

FAMILY HISTORY: Negative for seizures.

SOCIAL HISTORY: She is an 8th grader. She has a 12-year-old younger brother. She lives with her biological father. She does not smoke or use recreational drugs.

PHYSICAL EXAMINATION

VITAL SIGNS: Temperature 99, respiratory rate 16, pulse 97, blood pressure 124/72.

SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER
657 TOWN CENTER DRIVE
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89134

CONSULTATION REPORT

GENERAL: This is a 14-year-old female well-developed, well-nourished, alert, oriented x 3, cooperative for examination.

HEENT: The head is normocephalic, atraumatic. Pupils are equal, reactive, respond to light.

NECK: Supple.

NEUROLOGICAL: Cranial nerves II-XII are symmetric. Motor strength is 5 MRC. Deep tendon reflexes are 2+ throughout. Toes are downgoing. Sensory function and cerebellar function is normal.

LABORATORY DATA: Partial thromboplastin time 25.2, prothrombin time is 12.8, sodium 136, potassium 3.4, chloride 104, C02 27, blood urea nitrogen 14, creatinine 0.7, glucose 85, white blood cell count is 6.2. The hemoglobin and hematocrit is 12.3 and 38.5. Platelets are 397,000.

IMPRESSION: SYNCOPE, POSSIBLE SEIZURE ACTIVITY AFTERWARDS. Her current neurological examination and head CT with and without contrast is negative.

PLAN: The patient can be discharged from the emergency room tonight. We will check an electroencephalogram as an outpatient. She is to come back in if there is any further episode of syncope or altered level of consciousness.

Thank you for allowing me to see this patient in consultation.

Dja mara

PATIENT:	ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE		
MED REC #:	30478	PHYSICIAN:	JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA, MD
ACCOUNT #:	000000535492	DICTATED BY:	JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA, MD
ROOM:			
JOB #:	10162	DD:	05/07/99
STATUS:	E	DT:	05/08/99/858

SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL
MEDICAL CENTER
A Member of The Valley Health System

657 Town Center Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89134-6367 (702) 233-7000

PT NO: 535492	PATIENT LAST NAME: ALBRIGHT	FIRST NAME: DANIELLE	HOME TELEPHONE: 702-871-3528	MED REC NO: 30478	FC: H						
ADDRESS: 7755 COLEY	CITY: LAS VEGAS	ST: NV	ZIP: 89117-	COUNTY: 1							
AGE: 14	DOB: 06/15/1984	SEX: F	RACE: 1	MS: S	MAIDEN NAME:	RELG: ØTH	SOCIAL SECURITY NO: 999-99-9999	N'S	RM	BED	
ADM DATE: 05/07/99	TIME: 20:20	SRC: EO	PT TYPE: T	PRI: S	ACCIDENT INFO: Z	VOLUNTARY ADMISSION: ORGAN DONOR?					
PT EMPLOYER	TELEPHONE	OCCUPATION: STUDENT	ISO IND:								
EMPLOYER ADDRESS	CITY	ST	ZIP								

NEAREST RELATIVE NAME	HOME TELEPHONE	EMERGENCY CONTACT NAME: DALE ALBRIGHT	HOME TELEPHONE: 702-871-3528
REL: ADDRESS	WORK TELEPHONE: - -	REL: P ADDRESS: 7755 COLEY	WORK TELEPHONE
CITY	ST / ZIP	CITY: LAS VEGAS	ST: NV ZIP: 89117-

GUARANTOR NAME: DALEELLE ALBRIGHT	REL: P	HOME TELEPHONE: 702-871-3528	GUAR SOC SEC NO: 569-04-7570		
ADDRESS: 7755 COLEY	CITY: LAS VEGAS	ST: NV	ZIP: 89117-		
GUARANTOR EMPLOYER: INTERNAL MEDICINE	TELEPHONE: 702-999-9999	ADDRESS: UNK	CITY: LAS VEGAS	ST: NV	ZIP: 00000-
INSURANCE NAME 1: JOHN ALDEN	PLAN / POLICY #: I98569047570	GROUP #: 97593900010001	SUBSCRIBER: ALBRIGHT , DAP	REL: 99	AUTH #
INSURANCE NAME 2					
INSURANCE NAME 3					

ATTENDING PHYSICIAN: STANLEY ALAN	ATTENDING #: 044883	REF SRC	ADM BY: JOSEPS	PREV ADM DATE	PREVIOUS FACILITY
ADM DIAGNOSIS: SIEZURE	MED SVC: EMR	CODE	ALLERGIES: NKA		
LIVING WILL? N	IF YES LOCATION	METHOD OF ARRIVAL/ACCOMPANIED BY: FATHER			
PRIV/FAMILY PHY		RETIREMENT DATE	SURGERY DATE		
ADMITTING PHYSICIAN: STANLEY ALAN	ADMITTING #: 044883	AUTH BY:			

FINAL DIAGNOSES (FIRST DIAGNOSIS PRINCIPAL UNLESS NOTED)

CODE

R40.4

PROCEDURES

CONSULTING PHYSICIANS

DRG

LOS

May Hospital Release Information on Patient? Y

DISCHARGE DATE	TIME	STATUS/DISPOSITION	CODE

TRANS TO: ACUTE SNF ☐ REHAB ☐ HOSPICE ☐ HOME HEALTH ☐ HOME IV ☐ AGAINST MED ADV ☐ HOME ☐

OTHER

EXPIRED ☐ TIME _____ <48HRS ☐ >48HRS ☐ CORONER ☐ AUTOPSY YES ☐ NO ☐

"I CERTIFY THAT THE NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE PRINCIPAL AND SECONDARY DIAGNOSES AND THE MAJOR PROCEDURES PERFORMED ARE ACCURATE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE."

ATTENDING PHYSICIAN SIGNATURE & DATE

CHART COPY - DO NOT REMOVE FROM CHART

PyLASER, INC. (702)896-2000 (714)998-1550 (9/97)

ED LOCATION: ER | MODE OF ARRIVAL: ☐ POV / SELF / FAMILY ☐ AIR ☐ AMBULANCE | Sign-in time: 2011

CATEGORY: 5

OTHER:

PERSONAL BELONGINGS: ☑ YES ☐ NO

IF YES: ☐ SELF ☐ HOME ☐ SECURE

TELEMETRY: ☐ YES ☑ NO

Rx / PTA: ☐ 02 ☐ MEDS ☐ I.V. ☐ C-SPINE

ADVANCE DIRECTIVES PRESENT ☐ YES ☑ NO

Date: 5/7/99

SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL
MEDICAL CENTER
657 Town Center • Las Vegas, NV 89134
(702) 233-7000

ADULT EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT RECORD

ADDRESSOG

[illegible] 05/07/99
ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE
STACEY ALAN
05/15/1984 14
[illegible] H JOHN ALDEN

INIT. TRIAGE | ORTHOS | B/P

UPDATE TRIAGE | ED TIME

PT. NAME: (LAST) (FIRST) (M.I.)

AGE: 14 | SEX: ☐ M ☑ F | EMERGENCY CONTACT: | RELATIONSHIP: | PHONE:

PMD/INSURANCE

INIT.	TIME	T	ROUTE	P	R	B/P	PC
DH	2014	99	T	97	16	124/72	

TRIAGE NOTES

CHIEF COMPLAINT:
Had generalized clonic/tonic seizure while eating dinner tonight. Father is a physician & states she had a post ictal state.

PMH/PSH:

Q MRA

CURRENT MEDICATIONS:
None

ALLERGIES
NKDA

TETANUS: ☐ UNKNOWN ☐ CURRENT ☐ OUT OF DATE | WT.: Lb / Kg | HT.: Ft / In | LMP 5/6/99 | PREGNANT? ☐ UNKNOWN ☐ YES ☑ NO

INIT.	TRIAGE RN SIGNATURE	INIT.	PRIMARY RN SIGNATURE
DH	[signature]	JH	[signature] LPN

PHYSICIAN NOTIFIED/MD RESPONDING | CALLED / ANSWERED | CALLED / ANSWERED | CALLED / ANSWERED | CALLED / ANSWERED | TIME ARRIVED

DIAGNOSTIC STUDIES

#	ORDER SETS	TIME	INIT.	#	X-RAYS
	CARDIAC				C-SPINE
	INGESTIONAL ERROR				CHEST
	ABDOMINAL PAIN				ABD. SERIES
	VAGINAL BLEED				CT ( )
	GI BLEED				☐ W ☐ W/O
	CSF I CSF B				ULTRASOUND
	CBC PT/PTT				
	PANEL 6 CKMB				MEDICAL RECORDS
	AMYLASE				EKG
	SERUM PREG	Sent			SERIAL EKG'S
	U/A URINE DIP				P / O
	Type & (Units)				ABG
					SVN

INTERVENTIONS

TIME | INIT

O2 _____ LPM ☐ NRFM ☐ CANNU

IV (Sol'n. _____ ; _____ cc

1945 JH SALINE LOCK ~~X~~ 20 gauge Rt forearm

☐ RESTRAINTS
☐ NG (HEME ___ SIZE ___)
☐ FOLEY (SIZE ___)
☐ ONE-TOUCH

HISTORY / PHYSICAL: RACK TIME: _____ PHYSICIAN EXAM TIME: _____

DICTATION: ☐ YES ☐ NO

OK to go home—
have recd at Dr. Tavarez's
office tomorrow
return for [illegible] prn

TIME | PRE-HOSPITAL MEDICATIONS

TIME | INIT | MEDICATIONS

DIAGNOSIS

DISPOSITION

DISPOS. TIME: 2020

PATIENT CONDITION: ☑ STABLE ☐ GUARDED ☐ CRITICAL

ACUITY: 1 2 3 4

RN SIGNATURE: [signature]

☑ DISCHARGED ☐ EXPIRED Time of Expiration: _____ Coroner Time _____ D.O.R.S. Time _____

☐ AMA ☐ LAT ☐ LWBS ☐ AWOL

DISCHARGE INSTRUCTION FORM GIVEN? ☑ YES ☐ NO PHYSICIAN FOLLOW-UP INDICATED? ☑ YES ☐ NO

MODE OF DISCHARGE:
☑ POV / SELF / FAMILY ☐ POLICE ☐ AMBUL. ☐ FRIEND ☐ OTHER

E D PHYSICIAN SIGNATURE:

☐ TRANSFERRED TO: _____
Accepting M.D. _____

ASSOCIATED PATHOLOGISTS LABORATORIES

4230 Burnham Ave., S[redacted]60
Las Vegas, Nevad[redacted]9
(702) 733-[redacted]66

Raymond C. Kelly, Ph.D., DABFT
Director of Toxicology

CAP No. 89109-004-011

PATIENT ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE

AGE/SEX 06/15/84 F
COLLECTED 05/07/1999 21:16
RECEIVED 05/08/1999 00:47
TOXICOLOGY NO. 99-036969

ACCESSION NO. 08051844
MED. RECORD NO. 7300535492
CHART NO.
SS NO.

APL ROUTE SUM 2166
REFERRED BY SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MED CTR
657 TOWN CENTER DRIVE
LAS VEGAS, NV 89134

TOXICOLOGY REPORT

30478

TEST NAME - SPECIMEN
==========
PROFILE.807 BLOOD

RESULTS: ETHANOL = NEGATIVE

NO DRUGS IDENTIFIED IN BLOOD.

THIS SPECIMEN HAS BEEN SCREENED FOR THE FOLLOWING DRUGS:

Acetaminophen	Acetone	Amobarbital
Barbital	Butabarbital	Butalbital
Carbamazepine	Chlordiazepoxide	Diazepam
Ethanol	Ethchlorvynol	Ethosuximide
Glutethimide	Isopropanol	Mephobarbital
Meprobamate	Methanol	Methaqualone
Methsuximide	Noludar	Nordiazepam
Pentobarbital	Phenobarbital	Phenytoin
Salicylate	Secobarbital	Theophylline
Valproic Acid		

ANY OTHER DETECTED DRUGS OR TOXINS ARE INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT.

SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER
A Member of The Valley Health System
657 Town Center Drive Las Vegas, NV 89134

LABORATORY FINAL REPORT

Chart copy - Do not discard

DEPARTMENT OF LABORATORY MEDICINE

TESTING PERFORMED BY
ASSOCIATED PATHOLOGISTS LABORATORIES

NAME: ALBRIGHT,DANIELLE
DOB : 06/15/1984 SEX : F
LOCATION: ER ROOM: ....
DR : Stanley, Alan J., M.D.
PRIORITY : ACCESSION #: 51844

HOSPITAL ID #: 000535492 PAGE:1
MEDICAL REC #: 000030478
COLLECTED: 05/07/1999 21:16
PRINTED : 05/08/1999 @ 07:30
"X" if COMPLETE [X]

Collection Cmt Drawn by ER

CBC W/DIFF						
Wbc	6.2		Thousand	(4.0 - 10.5)		JL
Rbc	5.97	HI	Million	(4.10 - 5.30)		JL
Hgb	12.2		G/dL	(12.0 - 15.0)		JL
Hct	38.5		%	(35.0 - 45.0)		JL
MCV	64.5	LO	fL	(78.0 - 95.0)		JL
MCH	20.5	LO	pcG	(26.0 - 32.0)		JL
MCHC	31.8	LO	G/dL	(33.0 - 37.0)		JL
RDW	15.2	HI		(11.5 - 14.0)		JL
MPV	8.9			(-)		JL
Platelets	397		Thousand	(130 - 400)		JL
Neutrophils	39.2		%	(38.0 - 68.0)		JL
Lymphs	49.5	HI	%	(27.0 - 47.0)		JL
Monos	10.2		%	(2.0 - 12.0)		JL
Eos	0.7		%	(-)		JL
Basos	0.4		%	(0.0 - 2.0)		JL
Prothrombin Time	12.8		Seconds	(11.0 - 13.0)		JL
INR	1.14			(-)		JL

****
RECOMMENDED THERAPUTIC RANGES FOR INR
Prophylaxis of venous thrombosis:
Treatment of venous thrombosis:
Treatment of pulmonary embolism:
Prevention on systemic embolism:
Heart valves (Tissue & Mechanical):
Atrial fibrillation:
Acute M.I. (prevent systemic embolism)
Valvular heart disease:
****
If oral anticoagulant therapy is elected
to prevent recurrent M.I., an INR of
2.5 - 3.5 is recommended.

PTT	25.2		Seconds	(21.0 - 32.0)		JL
PANEL 6						
Sodium	136		mEq/L	(135 - 154)		VL
Potassium	3.4	LO	mEq/L	(3.6 - 5.6)		VL
Chloride	104		mEq/L	(96 - 107)		VL
Total CO2	27		mmol/L	(20 - 35)		VL
Glucose	85		mG/dL	(65 - 105)		VL
BUN	14		mG/dL	(5 - 26)		VL
Creatinine	0.7		mG/dL	(0.5 - 1.5)		VL
Toxics, Blood	Test performed at APL Central Laboratory					

-- End of Laboratory Report --

ED LOCATION: [illegible] | CATEGORY: 3

MODE OF ARRIVAL: ☐ POV / SELF / FAMILY ☐ AIR ☐ AMBULANCE | Sign-in time: 2011 | OTHER:

PERSONAL BELONGINGS: ☑ YES ☐ NO | IF YES: ☐ SELF ☐ HOME ☐ SECUR

TELEMETRY: ☐ YES ☑ NO

Rx / PTA: ☐ 02 ☐ MEDS ☐ I.V. ☐ C-SPINE

SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL
MEDICAL CENTER
657 Town Center • Las Vegas, NV 89134
(702) 233-7000
ADULT EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT RECORD

Date: 7/7/99

535492 05/07/99 T
ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE
STANLEY ALAN
06/15/1984 14
30478
H JOHN ALDEN

ADVANCE DIRECTIVES PRESENT ☐ YES ☑ NO

PT. NAME: (LAST) (FIRST) (M.I.)

AGE: 14 | SEX: ☐ M ☑ F | EMERGENCY CONTACT: | RELATIONSHIP: | PHONE:

PMD/INSURANCE

INIT. TRIAGE	♀			
UPDATE TRIAGE	♀			ED TIME

INIT.	TIME	T	ROUTE	P	R	B/P	PO

CARDIOVASCULAR
☑ NO KNOWN PROBLEMS
CHEST PAIN: ☐ NONE ☐ PRESENT (Describe)
☐ HISTORY OF: ☐ MI ☐ HTN ☐ PVD
☐ EDEMA (SITE):
PULSE RHYTHM:
APICAL RATE
REG/IRREGULAR/MURMUR
PULSES: (key)
WEAK = + ABSENT = 0
NORMAL = ++ BOUNDING = +++
L. Rad ____ R. Rad ____
L. Fem. ____ R. Fem ____
L. dp. ____ R. dp. ____
CAPILLARY REFILL:
☐ Brisk ☐ Delayed
Seconds

NEUROSENSORY
☑ ALERT ☐ LETHARGIC
☐ UNRESPONSIVE

	OD	OS
SIZE		
REACTION		

B - Brisk S - Sluggish
NR - Non-reactive
C - Eye Closed

SIZE KEY: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

COMA SCALE

EYES OPEN	SPONTANEOUS	4
	TO SOUND	3
	TO PAIN	2
	NO RESPONSE	1
BEST VERBAL RESPONSE	ORIENTED	5
	CONFUSED	4
	INAPPROP WORDS	3
	INCOMPREH. SOUNDS	2
	NO RESPONSE	1
MOTOR RESPONSE	OBEY COMMANDS	6
	LOCALIZE PAIN	5
	WITHDRAWN FROM PAIN	4
	ABNORMAL FLEXION	3
	ABNORMAL EXTENSION	2
	NO RESPONSE	1
TOTAL:		11

PSYCHOSOCIAL
COMMUNICATION BARRIER:
☑ NONE
☐ PRESENT
PRIMARY LANGUAGE English
MOOD / AFFECT:
☑ CALM ☐ ANXIOUS
☐ ANGRY ☐ IRRITABLE
☐ DEPRESSED ☐ APATHETIC
☑ COOPERATIVE ☐ UNCOOPERATIVE
☑ RECEPTIVE ☐ UNRECEPTIVE

GENITOURINARY
☑ NO KNOWN PROBLEMS
☐ DYSURIA ☐ FOLEY
☐ URGENCY
☐ FREQUENCY
☐ HEMATURIA
☐ INCONTINENT

RESPIRATORY
☑ NO KNOWN PROBLEMS
☐ HISTORY OF COPD
☐ DYSPNIC
☐ COUGH> ☐ DRY ☐ PRODUCTIVE
☐ CLEAR LUNGS> R L
☐ CRACKLES> R L
☐ WHEEZES> R L
☐ DIMINISHED R L
☐ OTHER
SPUTUM COLOR:
C/S SENT ☐ YES ☐ NO

SKIN
TEMP/MOISTURE: ☑ WARM ☐ DRY ☐ HOT ☐ COOL ☐ DIAPHORETIC
COLOR ☑ NORMAL ☐ PALE ☐ FLUSHED ☐ CYANOTIC ☐ JAUNDICED
INTEGRITY: ☐ INTACT
☐ RASH:
☐ ABRASION:
☐ LACERATION:
☐ BURNS:
☐ DERMAL ULCER:
☐ HEMATOMA:

GASTROINTESTINAL
☑ NO KNOWN PROBLEMS
☐ VOMITING ☐ NAUSEA
☐ HEMATEMESIS
☐ MELENA
☐ DIARRHEA
☐ CONSTIPATION
☐ INCONTINENT
ABDOMEN: ☐ SOFT ☐ FIRM ☐ TENDER ☐ DISTENDED
BOWEL SOUNDS:
☐ NORMAL ☐ HYPERACTIVE
☐ ABSENT ☐ HYPOACTIVE

GYN
CRAMPING ☐ YES ☑ NO
SPOTTING ☐ YES ☑ NO
PADS/TAMPONS
FREQUENCY
MENSES: ☑ REG ☐ IRREG

RESTRICTIVE DEVICES
TYPE -
TIME APPLIED -
FLOWSHEET INITIATED ☐

CONSCIOUS SEDATION
FLOWSHEET INITIATED ☐
☐ DISCHARGE INSTRUCTIONS - NOT TO OPERATE MOTOR VEHICLE OR OPERATE MACHINERY FOR 24 HRS GIVEN

INTAKE

INIT.	TIME	IV SOLUTION	LOCATION	TYPE	RATE	TOTA

ORAL / ENTERIC INTAKE

TIME	TYPE	TOTA

OUTPUT

TIME	TYPE	TOTA

MUSCULOSKELETAL
GAIT: ☑ STEADY ☐ UNSTEADY
ROM: ☑ FULL ☐ LIMITED
JOINTS: ☑ NORMAL ☐ SWOLLEN ☐ TENDER ☐ REDNESS ☐
DEFORMITY: ☑ NONE
☐ PRESENT (Specify)
☐ OTHER

LIMITATIONS
☐ NONE
☐ VISION
☐ HEARING
☐ SPEECH
☐ COMPREHENSION
☐ MOBILITY
☐ OTHER

NURSING DIAGNOSIS
A = Actual Problem P = Potential Problem

- AIRWAY CLEARANCE INEFFECTIVE R/T: ☐ SECRETIONS ☐ BLEEDING ☐ ____
- BREATHING PATTERN INEFFECTIVE R/T: ☐ LUNG COMPLIANCE ☐ LUNG SURFACE AREA ☐ ____
- COMFORT ALTERATION R/T: ☐ INJURY PAIN ☐ EMOTIONAL STRESS ☐ ____
- ANXIETY R/T: ☑ KNOWLEDGE DEFICIT ☐ ENVIRONMENT ☐ ____
- GAS EXCHANGE IMPAIRED R/T: ☐ AIRWAY OBSTRUCTION ☐ SHALLOW BREATHING ☐ ____
- CARDIAC OUTPUT ALTERATION R/T: ☐ DYSRHYTHMIAS ☐ VOLUME IMBALANCE ☐ ____
- KNOWLEDGE DEFICIT R/T: ☐ LACK OF EXPOSURE ☐ IMPAIRED THOUGHT PROCESS ☐ ____
- FLUID VOLUME DEFICIT R/T: ☐ BLOOD LOSS ☐ FLUID LOSS ☐ ____

TRAUMA SCORE

	RATE	POINTS	SCORE
A. Respiratory — Number of respirations in 15 sec.: multiply by four	10 - 24	4	
	25 - 35	3	
	> 35	2	
	< 10	1	
	0	0	A.
B. Respiratory Effort — Shallow - Markedly decreased chest movement or air exchange	Normal	1	
Retractive - Use of accessory muscles or intercostal retraction	Shallow or Retractive	0	B.
C. Systolic Blood Pressure — Systolic cuff pressure - either auscultate or palpate	> 90	4	
	70 - 90	3	
	50 - 69	2	
	< 50	1	
No carotid pulse	0	0	C.
D. Capillary Refill — Normal: Forehead or lip mucosa color refill in 2 seconds	Normal	2	
Delayed - More than 2 seconds capillary refill	Delayed	1	
None - No capillary refill	None	0	D.
TRAUMA SCORE (A+B+C+D)			

ED ADMIT | 1 HR AFTER ED ADMIT | ED DISCH

PLANNING / IMPLEMENTATION

	COMPLETE	INIT
☐ Recheck V/S Q 2 HR/PRN		
☐ Check Response to Medication		
☐ Recheck Circ/Sen/Movement of Injured Extremities		
☐ File Report(s) to appropriate Agency		
☐ Need Social Service intervention		
☐ Discharge Instructions		
☐ Other		
☐		
☐		

VALUABLES

	N/A	HOME	PT	SAFE
MONEY				
WALLET/PURSE				
JEWELRY				
DENTURES				
HEARING AID				
GLASSES/CONTACTS				
MOBILITY AID				
OTHER				

SENT TO SECURITY: TIME ____ INIT ____

INIT	SIGNATURE	INIT	SIGNATURE	INIT	SIGNATURE

NOTE ITEMS CHECKED ONLY - DISREGARD ALL OTHER ITEMS

The examination and treatment that you have received has been rendered on an urgent care basis only and is not intended to be a substitute for, or an effort to provide, complete medical care. Because it is impossible to recognize and treat all elements of an injury or illness in a single emergency room evaluation, you should report to your regular or referral physician as soon as possible. If necessary, a copy of your record will be available for this physician through Medical Records at Summerlin Hospital Medical Center.
It is important that you see this physician for follow-up and that you report any new or remaining problems at that time. Meanwhile, FOLLOW THE CHECKED INSTRUCTIONS.

LACERATIONS

☐
- Keep the dressing clean and dry.
- Any wound, even with the greatest of care, can become infected. If your wound becomes red, swollen, develops pus or red streaks or increased soreness, or increased warmness, YOU MUST IMMEDIATELY REPORT TO YOUR PHYSICIAN.
- Call your physician within 48 hours to schedule an appointment to have your stitches removed.
- If the dressing needs to be changed, you may change the dressing.

SPRAINS FRACTURES & BRUISES

☐
- Rest and elevate the injured part.
- Place a cold compress to the injured part for the first 24-48 hours, then apply a warm compress.
- If you have an elastic bandage, re-wrap it if it is loose or tight. (NEVER WRAP TOO TIGHTLY.)
- If you have a "cast", keep it dry at all times. In addition:
  - wiggle toes or fingers in the cast
  - if the part swells or gets cold, blue, numb or painful, HAVE IT CHECKED IMMEDIATELY BY A PHYSICIAN. RETURN TO THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT.

HEAD INJURIES

☐
- You should be observed by a family member for the first 24 hours. Consult your family physician before resuming normal activity.
- If any of the following appear, CALL YOUR PHYSICIAN IMMEDIATELY OR GO TO AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT:
  - loss of consciousness, difficulty in arousing, increasing sleepiness or loss of balance.
  - unequal pupils, paleness, convulsions, persistent vomiting, dizziness, blurry vision or persistent headaches.
  - persistent nosebleeds or drainage of fluids from nose or ears.
  - personality changes in adults, behavior changes in infants and children.

OTHER

A follow up c Dr. Lowenkron next week for EEG — to ED for any worsening H/A

PRESCRIPTION

MEDS GIVEN

GENERAL

☐ Call to arrange for an appointment at his / her office to see Dr. _____ Phone # _____

in _____ days for follow-up care. Call sooner if there is no improvement in your illness or if indicated by the instructions checked above. Follow label instructions for any prescription given by the physician. Recontact the Direct Doctor Referral Service at 388-4888 or the emergency room if unable to arrange a Physician follow-up appointment. CALL 233-7200 OR RETURN TO SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO FOLLOW-UP AS INSTRUCTED.

FOLLOW UP

☐ In addition to the instructions you have received, follow the instructions below. Your team leader should be advised of these instructions. If there are any questions, please call the emergency room at Summerlin Hospital Medical Center:

☐ Received Adult Diptheria Tetanus 0.5 ml.

☐ Received Adult Diptheria Tetanus and Hyper-Tet. For complete immunization see your physician for another booster in 4 - 6 weeks.

XRAY

☐ If you have had x-rays taken please note. The interpretation of your x-ray as given to you by the emergency room physician is only a preliminary report. The x-ray specialist reviews these films. If there is a change in the x-ray diagnosis, you or your doctor will be notified. Should you need copies of your x-rays contact Imaging Services at 233-7300 during normal business hours.

LAB

☐ You have received laboratory test (cultures, blood work, etc.) which will require further interpretation by your family physician. Please arrange an appointment to get these results.

DIAG

Dr Lowenkron

Physician

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF INSTRUCTIONS INDICATED ABOVE. I UNDERSTAND THAT I HAVE HAD URGENT CARE TREATMENT ONLY AND THAT I MAY BE RELEASED BEFORE ALL OF MY MEDICAL PROBLEMS ARE KNOWN OR TREATED. I WILL ARRANGE FOR FOLLOW-UP CARE INDICATED ABOVE. I WILL CALL THE EMERGENCY ROOM AT 233-7200 SHOULD I HAVE FURTHER QUESTIONS REGARDING MY TREATMENT. I WILL RETURN TO AN EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT SHOULD MY CONDITION WORSEN.

PATIENT OR REPRESENTATIVE

6/7/99

DATE

WITNESS

ADDRESSOGRAPH

32278 H JOHN ALDEN
06/15/1984 14
STANLEY ALAN OTH
ALBRIGHT ,DANIELLE F
5554e2 03/07/99 T EMR

SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL
MEDICAL CENTER
A Member of The Valley Health System

EMERGENCY ROOM PATIENT DISCHARGE INSTRUCTIONS

CONSENT TO TREATMENT AND CONDITIONS OF ADMISSION TO SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER

GENERAL POLICY: All patients shall be treated, admitted and assigned accommodation without distinction to race, religion, color, national origin, age c handicapping condition.

GENERAL DUTY NURSING: The hospital only provides general duty nursing care. Under this system nurses are called to the bedside of the patient by a signa system. If the patient needs continuous special care, it is understood that the patient is responsible for the cost and the hospital is released from any and a liability arising from the fact that the said patient is not provided with such additional care.

CONSENT TO TREATMENT: The undersigned consents to the procedures which may be performed during this hospitalization or on an outpatient basis includin emergency treatment or services. These may include but are not limited to laboratory, radiology, medical or surgical tests, treatments or procedures, anesthesi or procedures as directed under the general and special instruction of the physician or surgeon. I am aware that the practice of medicine is not an exact scienc and that no guarantees have been made to me. I consent laboratory studies in the event another person during my hospitalization is potentially exposed to a infectious disease from me including but not limited to Hepatitis and HIV. I authorize the hospital to preserve, retain or use for scientific or teaching purposes an dispose of at its convenience any specimen, tissues or other matter taken from my body during treatment at the hospital. I authorize my physician(s) to take photographs relating to my medical condition as are deemed necessary.

PHYSICIANS AS INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS: I acknowledge that all physicians furnishing services including but not limited to radiologist, pathologists anesthesiologists, emergency department physicians, consultants and assistants to the physician are independent contractors and are not employees of the hospital. I understand that I may receive separate billing from each of these providers for services rendered.

RELEASE OF INFORMATION: SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER is authorized to release any information necessary, including copies of my hospita and medical records to process payment claims for health care services which have been provided. Such records may include information or a psychological o psychiatric nature, pertaining to my mental condition or treatment for conditions relating to the use of alcohol or drugs. In addition, I authorize my insurance carrier employer or person otherwise responsible for payment to provide to SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER information necessary to determine benefits c process a claim. This release will be valid for the period of time to process the claim or until consent is revoked by the patient.

PERSONAL VALUABLES: I release the hospital from all responsibility relative to the loss and/or damage to property, money or valuables which are nc deposited with the hospital for safe keeping.

NONSMOKING POLICY: In accordance with regulatory agency standards, the hospital is a non smoking facility.

FINANCIAL AGREEMENT/ASSIGNMENT OF BENEFITS: I assign any and all insurance benefits payable to me to SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER. I understand that I am responsible for payment for services rendered at the hospital including services excluded from my insurance either because the plan deems such services not medically necessary, or for any other reason including pre-certification requirements, second opinions or preexisting conditions Should the account be referred to any attorney or collection agency for collection, I understand that I will be responsible for attorney or collection expenses.

ADVANCE DIRECTIVES/PATIENT RIGHTS:
I acknowledge that I have received information about patient rights and Advance Directives.
( ) I have provided the hospital with a copy of my Advance Directive.
( ) I have not

EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT
PPO PHYSICIAN NOTIFICATION: It is the responsibility of the patient to inform the hospital of the primary care physician. If the hospital is unable to contact o utilize the services of your physician, a non-PPO physician may be assigned to you. This could result in an increase in cost to you the patient.

My Primary Care Physician is:_____

USE OF THE EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT: I understand that my insurance carrier/3rd party payor has the right to review my record for use of the emergency department. I understand that if the reason for my visit is determined by my insurance/third party payor to be a non-emergency, I will be responsible for the bill.

I, the undersigned, state that the information that I have provided SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER is correct to the best of my knowledge. I acknowledge by my signature that I have read and received a copy of this statement.

Unable to sign
( ) Serious condition
( )_____

X_____
Signature of patient or representative

5/7/99
Date

Witness

Hospital Representative

Date

SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL
MEDICAL CENTER
A Member of The Valley Health System

ADDRESSOGRAPH

JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA
Adult & Pediatric Neurology
Diplomate American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology

FOLLOW-UP: ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE

DATE: MAY 21, 1999

HISTORY OF PRESENT ILLNESS: Danielle is seen in follow-up from her consultation on May 7, 1999. She is a 14-year-old female who had a syncopal episode following inhaling a helium balloon associated with postictal activity. It was initially thought that this was a benign post tonoclonic convulsion after inhaling a brief amount of helium. However, we did do an electroencephalogram which was abnormal characterized by recurrent generalized sharp waves consistent with an underlying seizure disorder. Subsequently I talked to her father (Dr. Dale Albright), I started her on Carbatrol 200 mg twice a day. With the use of Carbatrol she is doing well. She has had no side effects. The MRI of the brain was essentially normal. There was a mild asymmetric prominence of the right lateral ventricle without any evidence of mass or edema. She has had no new problems.

PHYSICAL EXAMINATION: On examination fundi are normal. Cranial nerves II through XII are intact. Motor strength is 5 MRC. Deep tendon reflexes are 2+ throughout. She has normal walk and cerebellar function.

PLAN: I will see her back in my office in September. She will most likely need to be on medication for two to three years with a follow-up electroencephalogram. If normalized we will most likely withdraw anticonvulsant therapy.

Joseph C. LaMancusa, M.D.
Board Certified Neurologist

JL/cg10
D:5/21/99
T:5/24/99

Signed but not edited

2020 Goldring Ave, Suite 403 • Las Vegas, NV 89106 • (702) 598-1935 • FAX (702) 598-4886

JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA, [redacted].
Adult & Pediatric Neurology
Diplomate American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology

BRIEF NOTE: ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE

DATE: MAY 12, 1999

I discussed Danielle's electroencephalogram with her father, Dr. Dale Albright. It is consistent with an underlying seizure disorder. She did have a first time seizure on May 7, 1999. Subsequently she will be started on Carbatrol 200 mg b.i.d. She will also need a brain MRI with contrast to rule out temporal lobe sclerosis. I will see her back in my office next week for blood work.

Joseph C. LaMancusa, M.D.
Board Certified Neurologist

JL/cg10
D:5/12/99
T:5/13/99

Signed but not edited

2020 Goldring Ave, Suite 403 • Las Vegas, NV 89106 • (702) 598-1935 • FAX (702) 598-4886

JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA, M.D.
Adult & Pediatric Neurology
Diplomate American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology

EEG REPORT: ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE

DATE: MAY 12, 1999

EEG: The EEG was recorded in the awake and drowsy state. The background rhythm was 9 hertz of medium to high voltage and dysrhythmic throughout the study. Throughout the study there were intermittent generalized high voltage single and poly sharp waves seen throughout the recording. No clinical seizures were recorded. The EEG attenuated with eye opening and drowsiness. Hyperventilation was not performed. There was no change in the background rhythm with photic stimulation.

IMPRESSION: This is a mildly abnormal EEG because intermittent generalized sharp waves over both hemispheres. This EEG could be seen with an underlying seizure disorder. The EEG was discussed with the father and anticonvulsant treatment will be initiated.

Joseph C. LaMancusa, M.D.
Board Certified Neurologist

JL/cg10
D:5/12/99
T:5/13/99

Signed but not edited

2020 Goldring Ave, Suite 403 • Las Vegas, NV 89106 • (702) 598-1935 • FAX (702) 598-4886

COPY

SUMMERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER
657 TOWN CENTER DRIVE
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89134

CONSULTATION REPORT

CONSULTANT: JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA, MD

REQUESTED BY: DALE A. ALBRIGHT, DO

CONSULTATION DATE: 05/07/99

NEUROLOGY CONSULTATION

Danielle is a 14-year-old white right-handed female who was brought to Summerlin Hospital Emergency Room this evening with a syncopal episode associated with a 20 second episode of tonic-clonic activity and a postictal state. According to the father who is Dr. Dale Albright who is well-known to me, the patient was in her usual state of health. She was at dinner at East Side Mario's with her father when she had apparently inhaled a helium balloon about thirty seconds before she passed out. This lasted for about 30 seconds. She felt quite light-headed and fell to the ground. She apparehtly went unresponsive. After she fell she had 20 seconds of tonic-clonic activity and then had about a one minute postictal state and then cleared. She is back to baseline. She has been afebrile. This episode was not associated with incontinence. She has no history of similar events. She has no history of closed head injury, no history of seizures. She had a head CT without contrast which was normal.

PAST MEDICAL HISTORY: Negative.

CURRENT MEDICATIONS: None.

MEDICATION ALLERGIES: NONE.

FAMILY HISTORY: Negative for seizures.

SOCIAL HISTORY: She is an 8th grader. She has a 12-year-old younger brother. She lives with her biological father. She does not smoke or use recreational drugs.

PHYSICAL EXAMINATION

VITAL SIGNS: Temperature 99, respiratory rate 16, pulse 97, blood pressure 124/72.

PATIENT:	ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE		
MED REC #:	30478	PHYSICIAN:	JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA, MD
ACCOUNT #:	000000535492	DICTATED BY:	JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA, MD
ROOM:			
JOB #:	10162	DD:	05/07/99
STATUS:	E	DT:	05/08/99/858

COPY

S[redacted]MERLIN HOSPITAL MEDICAL C[redacted]ER
657 TOWN CENTER DRIVE
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89134

CONSULTATION REPORT

GENERAL: This is a 14-year-old female well-developed, well-nourished, alert, oriented x 3, cooperative for examination.

HEENT: The head is normocephalic, atraumatic. Pupils are equal, reactive, respond to light.

NECK: Supple.

NEUROLOGICAL: Cranial nerves II-XII are symmetric. Motor strength is 5 MRC. Deep tendon reflexes are 2+ throughout. Toes are downgoing. Sensory function and cerebellar function is normal.

LABORATORY DATA: Partial thromboplastin time 25.2, prothrombin time is 12.8, sodium 136, potassium 3.4, chloride 104, C02 27, blood urea nitrogen 14, creatinine 0.7, glucose 85, white blood cell count is 6.2. The hemoglobin and hematocrit is 12.3 and 38.5. Platelets are 397,000.

IMPRESSION: SYNCOPE, POSSIBLE SEIZURE ACTIVITY AFTERWARDS. Her current neurological examination and head CT with and without contrast is negative.

PLAN: The patient can be discharged from the emergency room tonight. We will check an electroencephalogram as an outpatient. She is to come back in if there is any further episode of syncope or altered level of consciousness.

Thank you for allowing me to see this patient in consultation.

PATIENT:	ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE		
MED REC #:	30478	PHYSICIAN:	JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA, MD
ACCOUNT #:	000000535492	DICTATED BY:	JOSEPH C. LAMANCUSA, MD
ROOM:			
JOB #:	10162	DD:	05/07/99
STATUS:	E	DT:	05/08/99/858

WEST VALLEY IMAGING

WEST VALLEY IMAGING

3025 SOUTH RAINBOW BLVD.
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89146
PHONE (702) 222-3544
FAX (702) 222-9689

NAME: ALBRIGHT, DANIELLE DOB: 06/15/84 DATE: 05/20/99

DR: DALE ALBRIGHT, D.O. RECORD: 25260
CC: JOSEPH LAMANCUSA, M.D.

MRI OF THE BRAIN (UNENHANCED AND ENHANCED)

HISTORY:
Seizure.

TECHNIQUE:
Consecutive transaxial CT scans of the brain were obtained, extending from the floor of the posterior fossa to the cranial vertex at 5 and 10 mm intervals with and without intravenous contrast. Soft tissue windows were photographed.

FINDINGS:

1. There is asymmetric prominence of the right lateral ventricle. No significant bowing of the septum pellucidum. No mass effect is evident. There are no findings to suggest asymmetric transependymal resorption of CSF. The region of the foramina of Monroe is normal in configuration without evidence of enhancing masses.

2. There is no evidence of edema or deep white matter signal abnormalities. The brainstem and cervicomedullary junction are unremarkable. No pituitary lesions are identified.

3. There is distortion of the facial structures and anterior cranial fossa related to nonremovable dental appliances.

4. Remainder intracranial vessels demonstrate expected flow phenomena.

5. The corpus callosum and remaining ventricles are unremarkable.

IMPRESSION:

1. Ventricles are unremarkable.

2. Asymmetric prominence of the right lateral ventricle without evidence of masses or mass-effect. This could reflect a variant or sequelae of remote intracranial event.

3. Follow-up may be of value to insure stability.

Luke S. Cesaretti, M.D.
Radiologist

LSC/cjm
T: 05/21/99

WILLIAM L. BOREN, M.D.
LUKE S. CESARETTI, M.D.
ROBERT S. PRETTO, M.D.
DIPLOMATES, AMERICAN

Danielle Albright **#134** **GRADE 09** **HR:** **Page: 1 01-25-2000**

MESSAGE

Donna Albright
7881 Rainshower
Las Vegas NV 89147

Grading Scale
A = 90 -100
B = 80 - 89
C = 70 - 79
D = 60 - 60
F = Below 60 (Failing)

FAITH LUTHERAN JR./SR. HIGH SCHOOL

2015 Hualapai Way • Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
(702) 804-4400

SUBJECT	FIRST	SEC	SEMEX	SEMGR	ABS	TEACHER	EFFORT	BEHAVIOR
Faith I	88	88	73	86	0	Gentry;S	Excellent effort	Pleasure to have in class
English I	79	75	83	78	0	Buikema;D		
Debate	80	87		85	0	McAllistr;L	Satisfactory effort	Excellent behavior
Art I	85	103		95	0	Uhlig;J		
Pre Alg	80	77	73	77	0	Pullabhtl;S		
Biology	79	81	60	76	0	Fogo;S		
World Hist	80	71	57	72	0	Nagel;M		
Spanish I	76	82	74	78	0	Vergina;V		






Exhibit 4

Hand delivered
4-26-00

Dale Allright
201 N. Buffalo Dr.
Las Vegas, Nevada
89128

April 26, 2000

Re: Alimony for April 2000
Audio funds

Dear Dale,

I was dissappointed this morning when you hung up on my phonecall. I tried twice, but the second call was on your answering phone. Dale, I need my money for April today. Drew and I have bills due and past due. We will again get an eviction notice if you do not pay us. I don't understand why you are behaving like this. The court order says you will pay me on the 15th of each month. I will have to write both Garth Lutheran and DR. Fleming to explain what has happened to me, financially. I have been granted my fees waived for court costs, because you and you alone have held back alimony at crucial periods so far, and still have not given me my approximately $80,000 in QDRO funds also awarded to me. This is over one year since you said last April my funds would be prepared. The court will agree I have been more than patient with your shinnanigans regarding money issues. We have children involved who have needs that are not being met

emotionally or materially - and I don't mean such things as motorcycles.

Dale, please leave a message on my answering machine so I can know when to pick up the check. Again, we will be evicted if you don't pay me. Please call me today 4-26-00.

Sincerely

Donna

4-26-00

Dale,

I was told you wrecked the Wrangler last week. I hope you weren't hurt. You must have been scared but relieved to have had nothing seriously wrong physically.

Dennis

Hand Delivered
4-2[redacted]2000

Per

To Drs.
Milne
Kallekas
White
Grossman

April 26, 2000

201 N. Buffalo
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

Regarding: IMS Pension Plan Funds
Alimony Garnishment - DR. Albright

Dear Doctors,

I am writing this letter to you group of Drs. in hopes you might first pursuade Dr. Albright to give to me my lawful funds as far as the IMS or "Wells Fargo Plan" is concerned. I have been waiting for over 2 years because DR Albright will not voluntarily give me the proper Federal documents that all pension plans are subject to when disbursed. He has asked his attorney Nick Santero & Elizabeth Wacksman to withdraw from his case - so that he may go before a Nevada Supreme Court Appeal process on "Proper Person" (You are your own attorney) I am myself in Proper Person and have been since January 1999. I have brought the Appeal case to light because so far DR Albright has forced me to this point. He has not been forthright in his representations to the lower court and to <u>all</u> his attorneys. He has perjured himself on court video, on court record. He has forced me to the only choice that I have

to exercise my due process and parental rights. He is using the children in this divorce and I will make the Nevada Supreme Court supply me with statue and case cites. What Dr. Albright thinks he has gotten away with will be overturned. Currently he is a "deadbeat husband" who is 11 days late with my alimony. I have a court order that tells he must pay me by the 15th of each and every month. I do not want to have to garnish his wages but I fear this is what will occur.

I have written Dr. Grossman a letter previous to this one on the Quadro issue (IMS - Wells Fargo Plan) but he did not respond to my letter. I would like you to respond to my request in 5 days of receipt of this letter - either as a group or singular. My wish is for DR. Albright to honor the divorce decree and pay me on time. Also to send me my approximately $80,000 in pension plan funds. On May 5, 1999 he said the funds would be coming - but here it is almost one year after - no monies. Also I would like Medical coverage cards for both Danielle and Drew - in case of an emergency. He will not provide these as well.

Thank you for your future cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely

Donna M. Albright

Re: Alimony
Danielle
Quadros

May 2, 2000

Dear Dale,

Yesterday on May 1, 2000 I came to the Coley home in the morning to get my April 15, 2000 alimony check which is past due. I only wanted you to reissue me a new check. Your comment "Why don't you put another motion into the Supreme Court" was unnecessary. I told you Drew and I had no food, all bills were past due with late fees accruing and I soon would get another eviction notice. I had to borrow gas money to drive to San Diego on Easter with Drew and also had to borrow money for DMV renewal on my leased car. Dale, my side of the family hasn't seen Danielle since August 1998. They miss her very much. You are required to make sure you "foster" a relationship between her and I. Since you have either "brainwashed" her or "alienated" her from me and my family and unlawfully taken her from me - you have forced me to take this issue to the higher court.

Since I have no money I talked to you this morning 5-2-00 to have you give Drew some lunch money. Also Drew needs his allowance for (2) months. You again hung up on me - and stopped all communications. You don't respond to ANY communication. You have forced me to Appeals.

You owe me my lawful monies regarding the Luddros. This 80,000 is due now.

Donna

Dale Albright
7755 Coley Ave
Las Vegas, Nevada
89117

May 4, 2000

Re: Late April 15 Alimony Check
Quadros

Dear Dale,

Yesterday on May 3, 2000 you would not respond to any of my emergency phonecalls. I then, contacted Donna Rimaldi your office Manager and left two messages on her voice mail. The first time Mary said she was at a meeting. The second call - Donna was out of the building - not to be reached. I called you again at the Coley house and Drew answered. Again, you wouldn't speak with me. I needed the alimony check for April re-written as I need it today 5-4-00. Drew and I have no food, all bills are large and overdue and he will be threatened with another eviction. Dale - you are hurting Drew withholding our monies. Each payment is ordered to be paid on the 15th of every month. May 15, another check is due. Did you read the motion I sent you for "Motion For Relief pursuant to NRAP 27 (a)? Who told you, you didn't have to pay me? Drew told me you told him that. You are beyond unreasonable and think you are "above the LAW". My QUADRO monies are due NOW. I had to borrow gas money from a mutual

friend of ours. It is very embarrassing to explain this to our friends. Please re-issue me a check today so Drew and I may survive.

Donna

PS. Please give Drew his 2 month's allowance so he might be able to purchase something at the Supercross Saturday.

Thank you

April 30, 2000

Dear Dale,

Today is the last day of the month. I haven't yet received April's alimony check. I desperately need this money. I did not see anything in my mailbox at all. Please call me tonight and I need to talk to you - not only about the check but about something else. Please don't ignor my letter. I have NO money and I'm at a zero balance. Please give me this money. If you need to rewrite another check - perhaps it was lost?

Please —

Donna

We have NO money even for food — Rent & all bills are past due.

Exhibit 5

AFFIDAVIT OF CAROLYN M. ALBRIGHT
IN SUPPORT OF DONNA M. ALBRIGHT

State of California
County of Amador

Carolyn M. Albright having been duly sworn, deposes and says:

1. That I, Carolyn M. Albright, am the ex wife of Delmer L. Albright, Dale A. Albright's brother. I have known Donna M. Albright at least seven years.

2. That I am aware of the two prior loans of $37,000.00 and $28,000.00 that Delmer and I borrowed - $37,000.00 from Dale and Donna Albright in 1994 and $28,000.00 in 1998 from Dale A. Albright. Payments of these loans were mailed directly to Dale A. Albright only.


3. That recently Donna has contacted me and during our phone conversation I told Donna about some problems I had in my divorce settlement regarding monies owed to me and that Delmer was going to borrow $20,000.00 to $40,000.00 from Dale in order to refinance our home and pay off his credit card debt so he could qualify to refinance our home.

Dated this 5th day of April, 2000.

Carolyn M. Albright

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 5th day of April, 2000.

Debbie M. Westmoreland
Notary Public



X-POP3-Rcpt: cmalbrig@pop4
X-Sender: jeepndel@mail.goldrush.com
X-Mailer: QUALCOMM Windows Eudora Pro Version 4.0.1
Date: Wed, 23 Feb 2000 08:29:30 -0800
To: Carolyn Albright <cmalbright@ucdavis.edu>
From: Del Albright <jeepndel@goldrush.com>
Subject: Re: Settlement

Carolyn: we just talked on the phone, so you're aware that I'll meet with Foley hopefully today and run the settlement by him so he's on board. We should not have a problem.
Actually, you didn't have a choice on the interest thing....but I appreciate you letting me know about it. I'd have had to do a tax amendment thing later if you had'n't told me. so thanks......but it's not like you gave me something here. :)

The lock up thing is to prevent coming back later for more alimony (like my first wife did and like you tried to do kinda with Jack). It also cinches up the retirement agreement so that we both know what the future holds (and doesn't hold) financially. And even then, that doesn't completely protect either one of us. You might want to have something in there about me not coming after your inheritance for example, etc. etc. Anyway, it locks up the financial arrangements so they can be contested later. And even than, that doesn't prevent further court intervention as my brother can attest to now that Donna has filed an appeal of their settlement with the Supreme Court of Nevada. It'll get thrown out; but she still filed it and upset his day. I want to preclude that in our agreement. That's my desire.

:
:
:
:
:
>
>
>
>
>
>
>.
>.
>:
>:
>:
>:
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>

>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>At 08:40 AM 02/22/2000 -0800, you wrote:
>>>>Carolyn: here are the rough figures we came up with for you to run by Mr.
>>>>Valen.
>>>>
>>>>$20k up front to settle spousal, attorney fees, property, etc.
>>>>5% of my retirement — 10%
>>>>You keep your retirement
>>>>Wash the deferred comp
>>>>Wash the vehilces (incl. Sally, Red, Truck, Buick)
>>>>No alimony/spousal (incl in 20k)
>>>>I take the debt (about $40k left)
>>>>House and equity goes to me.
>>>>You get taken off the house mortgage, house second , my vehicles.
>>>>We get together later and sign pink slips as needed.
>>>>
>>>>Steps we need to take:
>>>>1. Agreement worked up and approved by us (Mr. Valen to do).
>>>>2. I get Dale off the house via Quick claim
>>>>3. I get a loan from Dale to pay you the 20k.
>>>>4. I pay off Creditline and other debts to get my debt down.
>>>>5. I refinance or reapply for the house loan and get you off mortgage.
>>>>6. I get you off the Credit line (by paying it off and closing it).
>>>>7. We link up and sign pink slips to transfer vehicle ownerships.
>>>>
>>>>I think that covers it. Let me know. I'll drop a copy of this off at Mr.
>>>>Foley's office so he knows it's coming.
>>>>thanks, DEL
>>>>
>>>
>>
>

Exhibit 6

DOMESTIC COURT MINUTES

98-D-224402-D Yanke, Rodney J vs Yanke, Kathy C

CONTINUED FROM PAGE: 014

12/30/99 09:00 AM 00 ALL PENDING MOTIONS 12/30/99

HEARD BY: CYNTHIA D STEEL, Judge; Dept. G

OFFICERS: ALICE LAIZURE, Relief Clerk

PARTIES:	001 P1	Yanke, Rodney J	Y
	PRO SE	Pro Se	Y
	002 D1	Yanke, Kathy C	Y
	004291	Hoskin, Charles J.	Y
	006 CO	Yanke, Kathy C	N
	004291	Hoskin, Charles J.	Y

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO MODIFY CHILD CUSTODY...DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION AND COUNTERMOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Parties sworn and testified. After reviewing the issues, COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff is to submit to Mr. Haskins proof of his attempts to collect on the business debt, proof of any payments he has received, dates received, and address and phone number of the buyer so Mr. Haskins can contact buyer. Plaintiff is to pay $750.00 per month to Deft. out of the $1000.00 per month he receives from the sale of the business. Regarding request for attorney fees. COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff is to pay Mr. Haskins $500.00 in ATTORNEY FEES, the $500.00 is REDUCED TO JUDGMENT, and EXECUTION on Judgment is STAYED 6 months. Plaintiff to submit signed Quadro WITHIN 30 DAYS. Beginning 2/1/00, a $500.00 PER MONTH SANCTION shall be imposed against Plaintiff, that he will pay to Defendant each month UNTIL THE QUADRO IS in this COURT for signature. COURT FUTHER ORDERED, MOM is ON NOTICE, if the child's TRUANCY at school does not stop, the COURT will consider CHANGING CUSTODY. Dad has permission to take the child to COUNSELING, and mom is to cooperate. Dad can use Dr. Carroll for counseling if she is still available on his insurance. If mom does not allow the child to go to counseling, she will be in CONTEMPT of this Court Order. Deft's Request to have Child Support revised is DENIED. COURT ORDERED, Plaintiff's Motion to Modify Custody is DENIED. Regarding parties '98 taxes, COURT FINDS, the tax issues is done, both parties filed '98 taxes seperately against the Court Order, both parties conceded to do so without filing anything with this Court regarding their rights under the Decree.

Mr. Haskins is to prepare the Order and Plaintiff is to sign off.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

DONNA M. ALBRIGHT,
Appellant,
vs.
DALE ALBRIGHT,
Respondent.

Supreme Court No. 35666
District Court Case No. D193637

RECEIPT FOR DOCUMENTS

TO: Dale Albright
Donna M. Albright
Elizabeth E. Wachsman

You are hereby notified that the Clerk of the Supreme Court has received and/or filed the following:

05/25/00	Received Proper Person Motion. Motion to Proceed on Appeal in Proper Person Pursuant to N.R.A.P. 46(B) and N.R.A.P. 31(2).

DATE: May 25, 2000

Janette M. Bloom, Clerk of Court

By: SY
Deputy Clerk